

DATA REPORT

Characterization of Levin Richmond Terminal Sediments: Results of Dredge Materials Sampling and Analysis

Site LRT-S01

Prepared for

Cooper White & Cooper
1333 N. California Blvd., Suite 450
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Prepared by

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Dr., Suite 104
Martinez, CA 94553

April 2006



PACIFIC ECORISK
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING & TESTING

DATA REPORT

Characterization of Levin Richmond Terminal Sediments: Results of Dredge Materials Sampling and Analysis

Site LRT-S01

Prepared for

Cooper White & Cooper
1333 N. California Blvd., Suite 450
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Prepared by

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Dr., Suite 104
Martinez, CA 94553

April 2006

Table of Contents

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Objectives of the Sediment Investigation	1
1.2 Organization of this Document	2
2. FIELD SEDIMENT SAMPLE COLLECTION.....	6
3. SAMPLE PROCESSING.....	7
4. RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES	8
4.1 Results of Conventional and Chemical Analyses.....	8
4.1.1 LRT-SO1 COMP Composite Analytical Chemistry Results.....	8
4.1.2 Conventional and Chemical Analytical QA/QC Summary	11
4.1.3 Deviations from the Sampling and Analysis Plan	12
4.2 Biological Testing	12
4.2.1 Benthic Toxicity Testing	12
4.2.1.1 Sediment Solid-Phase Testing with <i>Ampelisca abdita</i>	13
4.2.1.1 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to <i>Ampelisca abdita</i>	14
4.2.1.2 Sediment Solid-Phase Testing with <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i>	14
4.2.1.2 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i>	15
4.2.2 Water Column Toxicity Testing	15
4.2.2.1 Sediment Elutriate Testing with <i>Mytilus sp.</i> embryos.....	16
4.2.2.1 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to <i>Mytilus sp.</i> embryos.....	17
4.2.3 Biological Testing Quality Lab Control.....	17
5. SUMMARY	19
6. REFERENCES	20

Appendices

Appendix A	Sampling Field Logs and Data Sheets
Appendix B	Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Data Report
Appendix C	Ammonia and Sulfide Analyses Performed in Support of Bioassay Testing
Appendix D	Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediments with the Amphipod, <i>Ampelisca abdita</i>
Appendix E	Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference Toxicant Evaluation of the Amphipod, <i>Ampelisca abdita</i>
Appendix F	Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediments with the Polychaete, <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i>

- Appendix G Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference Toxicant Evaluation of the Polychaete, *Neanthes arenaceodentata*
- Appendix H Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediment Elutriate with Mussel (*Mytilus sp.*) Embryos
- Appendix I Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference Toxicant Evaluation of the Mussel (*Mytilus sp.*) Embryos
- Appendix J Bioassay Standard Test Conditions
- Appendix K Elutriate Suitability Determination

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1-1. Location Map: Levin-Richmond Terminal	3
Figure 1-2. Vicinity Map: Levin-Richmond Terminal	4
Figure 1-3. Site LRT-S01 (Levin-Richmond Terminal) Sediment Core Locations.....	5

List of Tables

Table 1-1. Proposed maintenance dredging for the Levin-Richmond Terminal Corporation	1
Table 2-1. Locations of sampling stations, core penetration depth, and core retrieval length	6
Table 4-1. Results of grain size analyses of Levin Richmond sediments.....	8
Table 4-2. Results of conventional analyses of Levin Richmond sediments.....	8
Table 4-3. Metals concentrations (mg/kg, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments	9
Table 4-4. PAH concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt) of Levin Richmond sediments	9
Table 4-5. Organochlorine pesticide concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments	10
Table 4-6. Organotin concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments	10
Table 4-7. PCB Aroclor concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt) of Levin Richmond sediments.....	11
Table 4-8. <i>Ampelisca abdita</i> survival in the solid-phase test sediments	13
Table 4-9. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of cadmium on <i>Ampelisca abdita</i> survival.....	14
Table 4-10. <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i> survival in the test sediments.....	14
Table 4-11. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of cadmium on <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i> survival	15
Table 4-12. Effects of LRT-S01 COMP sediment elutriate on <i>Mytilus sp.</i> embryos	16
Table 4-13. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of copper on <i>Mytilus sp.</i> embryos	17
Table 4-14. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for <i>Ampelisca abdita</i>	18
Table 4-15. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for <i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i>	18
Table 4-16. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for <i>Mytilus sp.</i>	18

List of Acronyms

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
Bay	San Francisco Bay
BCDC	Bay Conservation and Development Commission
CAS	Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.
COC	Chain-of-custody
CV	Coefficient of Variation
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DMMO	Dredged Material Management Office
GPS	Global Positioning System
ITM	Inland Testing Manual (USEPA/USACE 1998)
JBA	John Brezina and Associates
LRTC	Levin-Richmond Terminal Corporation
LTMS	Long Term Management Strategy
MLLW	Mean lower low water
PER	Pacific EcoRisk
QA/QC	Quality assurance/quality Lab Control
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
RSD	Relative Standard Deviation
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Lab Control Board
SAP	Sampling and analysis plan
SLC	State Lands Commission
SOP	Standard operating procedures
TEG	TEG Oceanographic Services
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1. INTRODUCTION

The Levin-Richmond Terminal Corporation (LRTC), located in the Richmond Inner Harbor Channel in Point Richmond, CA, (Figures 1-1 and 1-2), is currently seeking a 10-year permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), and 5-year permits from the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) and San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Lab Control Board (RWQCB) for maintenance dredging of their berth areas.

To accommodate vessel transit and berthing and appropriately maintain essential Terminal operations, LRTC requires dredging of its Site S01 berth area to a depth of -39.0 ft MLLW + 2.0 ft over-dredge. The proposed maintenance depth and estimated volumes of dredged material, including over-depth, are summarized in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1. Proposed maintenance dredging for the Levin-Richmond Terminal Corporation

Site	Permitted Depth (ft MLLW)	Estimated Volume (yds ³)	Over-depth (ft)	Estimated Volume (yds ³)	Dredge Depth (ft MLLW)	Total Estimated Volume (yds ³)
LRT-S01	-39.0	831	+2	4,670	-41	5,501

With DMMO approval of the previously-submitted Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP), the Site S01 berth area was sampled to a total depth of -41.0 ft MLLW, and full Inland Testing Manual (ITM) testing was performed in order to satisfy permit requirements. Sample locations are presented in Figure 1-3.

1.1 Objectives of the Sediment Investigation

The purpose of this investigation was to evaluate the proposed dredged material to determine whether it will represent an impact during removal operations and placement at the SF-11 Disposal Site. The procedures for sediment sample collection, sample processing and preparation, physical and chemical analyses, biological testing and data analyses were presented in a previously submitted-and-approved SAP (PER 2005). The specific objectives of sampling and testing program were as follows:

- Collect core samples from within the designated sampling areas following field protocol detailed in the SAP;
- Conduct chemical and biological analyses to determine whether sediments are suitable for unconfined aquatic disposal (SUAD), with bioaccumulation testing being deferred pending analysis of the dredged material chemistry data.

1.2 Organization of this Document

Sample collection and handling procedures are discussed in Sections 2 and 3. Chemical analyses and bioassay results are provided in Section 4. Section 5 presents the conclusions regarding suitability of the material for unconfined, aquatic disposal at SF-11, and references are provided in Section 6. Appendices A-K contain supporting documentation for this study.



Figure 1-1. Location Map: Levin-Richmond Terminal



Figure 1-2. Vicinity Map: Levin-Richmond Terminal




Figure 1-3. Site LRT-S01 (Levin-Richmond Terminal) Sediment Core Location

2. FIELD SEDIMENT SAMPLE COLLECTION

All sediments were collected in accordance with guidelines and procedures outlined in the SAP (PER 2005). All sediment sampling field activities were performed on October 17, 2005, under the direction of Mr. Jeffrey Cotsifas (PER). Mr. Mark Mertz of TEG Oceanographic Services (TEG) provided the sampling vessel, on-board positioning system, and vibratory core. PER also provided an additional Field Scientist to assist in sediment core collection. Five sediment cores were collected from the designated site (Figure 1-3). Final site positions were determined with a differential global positioning system (GPS) and are accurate to ± 3 m. Table 2-1 lists station identifiers, GPS coordinates for all core locations, mudline elevations, and core penetration depths for all stations. Each site sediment core was collected into a cleaned polycarbonate tube liner within a 4-inch diameter steel core barrel, using a vibratory core system.

Table 2-1. Locations of sampling stations, core penetration depth, and core retrieval length.

Sample ID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Mudline Elevation (ft MLLW)	Penetration Depth (ft)	Core Length (ft)	Cored Depth (ft MLLW)
LRT-S01-01	37°55.137	122°21.967	36.5	4.5	4.5	41.0
LRT-S01-02	37°55.131	122°21.953	36.1	4.9	4.9	41.0
LRT-S01-03	37°55.122	122°21.937	38.0	3.0	3.0	41.0
LRT-S01-04	37°55.108	122°21.918	38.3	2.7	2.7	41.0
LRT-S01-05	37°55.101	122°21.904	35.6	5.4	5.4	41.0

John Brezina and Associates (JBA) collected sediment from the San Pablo (SF-10) Disposal Site and the Alcatraz (SF-11) Disposal Site for use as reference sediments.

All sediment samples were maintained on ice until transported to the PER testing lab for processing. Upon receipt at PER, all samples were logged in and placed in cold storage at 4°C in the dark until needed. Field log sheets are presented in Appendix A. There were no unusual circumstances encountered during the fieldwork, and no major deviations from the SAP (PER 2005).

3. SAMPLE PROCESSING

The sediment material from each core section was each individually homogenized within a high-density polyethylene bucket to comprise the homogenized core sediments; a sub-sample of each homogenized core sediment was frozen for archival storage.

Proportionate volumes of each of the homogenized core "S01" sediments were composited and homogenized within a high-density polyethylene bucket to comprise the "LRT-S01" composite sediment. Sub-samples of the homogenized composite sediment sample (sample ID = LRT-S01 COMP) were submitted for full chemical and conventional analyses and biological testing; additional sub-samples of the homogenized composite sediments were frozen for archival storage.

All sediment was processed following procedures outlined in the SAP (PER 2005), with no deviations.

4. RESULTS OF LABORATORY ANALYSES

4.1 Results of Conventional and Chemical Analyses

Sediment samples were analyzed for the chemical and conventional parameters specified in the SAP (PER 2005). Conventional parameters included total organic carbon (TOC), total solids, and grain size. Chemical analyses of trace metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), chlorinated pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and butyltins were also performed. The results of these analyses are summarized in Tables 4-1 through 4-7. The full Data Report for the conventional and chemical analyses that was submitted by the contracting analytical laboratory is provided in Appendix B.

4.1.1 LRT-SO1 COMP Composite Analytical Chemistry Results

Briefly, the “LRT-SO1 COMP” site sediment was ~44% total solids, and TOC levels were moderate (1.72%). Grain size analyses indicated that the sediment was 76.1% fines (silts and clays), 16.6% sand, and ~3.3% gravel.

All of the metal analytes were generally similar to ambient bay concentrations (SFRWQCB, 2000). Total PAHs were reported at 4,664 µg/kg. With the exception of dieldrin, endosulfan II, heptachlor epoxide, and Total DDT (measured at 8.7, 1.3, 1.7, and 28 µg/kg, respectively), all organochlorine pesticides were below their respective detection limits. Total organotins were measured at 45.7 µg/L. All PCB Aroclors were below their respective method reporting limits.

Table 4-1. Results of grain size analyses of Levin Richmond sediments

Analytes	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
% Gravel	3.30	0.1
% Sand	18.2	0.1
% Silt	30.1	0.1
% Clay	46.1	0.1

Table 4-2. Results of conventional analyses of Levin Richmond sediments

Analytes	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Total Solids (% as Dry Wt.)	44.4	0.1
Total Organic Carbon (%)	1.72	0.1

Table 4-3. Metals concentrations (mg/kg, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments

Metals	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Arsenic	7.1	0.5
Cadmium	0.44	0.05
Chromium	78.9	1.0
Copper	48.1	0.1
Lead	35.2	0.05
Mercury	0.31	0.02
Nickel	56.6	0.2
Selenium	0.2	0.1
Silver	0.32	0.02
Zinc	95.3	0.5

Table 4-4. PAH concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt) of Levin Richmond sediments

PAHs	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Acenaphthene	26	5.7
Acenaphthylene	46	5.7
Anthracene	160	5.7
Benzo(a)anthracene	350	5.7
Benzo(a)pyrene	530	5.7
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	510	5.7
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	220	5.7
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	390	5.7
Chrysene	740	5.7
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	74	5.7
Dibenzofuran	16	5.7
Fluoranthene	430	5.7
Fluorene	30	5.7
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	220	5.7
Methylnaphthalene	18	5.7
Naphthalene	34	5.7
Phenanthrene	140	5.7
Pyrene	730	5.7
Total PAHs	4,664	NA

Table 4-5. Organochlorine pesticide concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments

Organochlorine Pesticides	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Aldrin	<1	1
a-BHC	<1	1
b-BHC	<1.0	1.1
g-BHC (Lindane)	<1	1
d-BHC	<1	1
alpha-Chlordane	<1	1
gamma-Chlordane	<1.7	1.7
Dieldrin	8.7	1
Endosulfan I	<1	1
Endosulfan II	1.3	1
Endosulfan sulfate	<1	1
Endrin	<1	1
Endrin aldehyde	<1	1
Endrin ketone	<1	1
Heptachlor	<1	1
Heptachlor epoxide	1.7	1
Methoxychlor	<1	1
Toxaphene	<84	84
4,4'-DDD	<1	10
4,4'-DDE	28	1
4,4'-DDT	<1	1
Total DDT	(28)	NA

Table 4-6. Organotin concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt.) of Levin Richmond sediments

Organotins	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Monobutyltin	2.7	2.3
Dibutyltin	13	2.3
Tributyltin	30	2.3
Tetrabutyltin	<2.3	2.3
Total Butyltins	45.7	NA

Table 4-7. PCB Aroclor concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, dry wt) of Levin Richmond sediments

PCB Aroclors	LRT-SO1 COMP	Method Reporting Limit
Aroclor 1016	<10	10
Aroclor 1221	<20	20
Aroclor 1232	<10	10
Aroclor 1242	<10	10
Aroclor 1248	<10	10
Aroclor 1254	<79	79
Aroclor 1260	<10	10
Total PCBs	<10	NA

4.1.2 Conventional and Chemical Analytical QA/QC Summary

The QA/QC review entailed reviewing the contract lab Data Reports for sample integrity, correct methodology, documentation of instrument calibration, and compliance with all appropriate quality Lab Control requirements. Although there were minimal matrix spike RPD exceedances for organochlorine pesticides, and an accuracy exceedance for one chlorinated pesticide (gamma-BHC), the overall data quality assessment found that all data were usable. Appendix B contains the conventional and chemical analysis reports, which include contract laboratory QA/QC narratives.

Any analyses that did not comply with the QA/QC limits are presented below (also, see final analytical reports in Appendices B).

Metals – Precision evaluation within acceptable limits. Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate precision analyses were within acceptable limits.

PAHs – Internal calibration evaluation: the criterion for the analysis of 2 out of 18 PAH compounds was outside the acceptable range; however, the alternative EPA method using the mean Relative Standard Deviation was within acceptable limits for all 18 compounds.

Chlorinated Pesticides – Internal calibration evaluation: the criterion for the analysis of 2 analytes was outside the acceptable range; however, the alternative EPA method using average percent recovery was within acceptable limits for all analytes. The method reporting limits have been raised for both samples due to matrix interference and due to the presence of non-target background components in the samples. Matrix spike recoveries and RPD for several analytes were outside acceptable range also due to matrix interference.

PCBs – Internal calibration evaluation: the criterion for the analysis of 4 PCB analytes was outside the acceptable range; however, the alternative EPA method using average percent recovery was within acceptable limits for all analytes. The matrix spike recovery for Aroclor 1260 was outside of control criteria suggesting a potential high bias in the matrix.

Organotin Compounds – The method reporting limit has been raised for Di-n-butyltin due to the presence of non-target background components in the method blank.

4.1.3 Deviations from the Sampling and Analysis Plan

There were no deviations from the SAP (PER 2005) for the analytical chemistry.

4.2 Biological Testing

Three different toxicity tests were performed for the composite sample:

1. the 10-day amphipod survival solid-phase sediment test with *Ampelisca abdita*,
2. the 10-day juvenile polychaete survival solid-phase sediment test with *Neanthes arenaceodentata*,
3. the 48-hour water column (sediment elutriate) toxicity bivalve embryo survival and development test with *Mytilus sp..*

All tests were performed following appropriate protocols as outlined in the SAP (PER 2005). Test data and summaries of the statistical analyses for the bioassay results are provided in Appendices D-I. Summaries of test conditions and test acceptability criteria are provided in Appendix J.

4.2.1 Benthic Toxicity Testing

Solid-phase bioassays were conducted with the amphipod *Ampelisca abdita* and the polychaete *Neanthes arenaceodentata*. The measured sediment porewater ammonia concentration for the composite sample was initially greater than the recommended threshold of 15 mg/L (total ammonia). Therefore, prior to test initiation, the overlying water in each test replicate was exchanged with fresh overlying water until the measured porewater ammonia concentration was <15 mg/L. A summary of the measured concentrations of total ammonia and total sulfides in the sediment porewaters, and summary tables of the total ammonia concentrations measured in the test overlying waters are presented in Appendix C.

Positive and negative Lab Control treatments were tested concurrently with the bioassays. The positive Lab Control for both benthic species consisted of a 96-hr reference toxicant test of waterborne cadmium. The results of these tests were compared to our in-house reference toxicant test response database to determine whether these test organisms were responding to toxic stress

in a typical fashion. The negative Lab Control for *Ampelisca abdita* consisted of the “Home” sediment from which the species was originally collected. The negative Lab Control for *Neanthes arenaceodentata* consisted of very fine-grained quartz sand.

For disposal suitability determinations, the solid-phase bioassay survival results for the site sediments were statistically compared to the appropriate reference site values. The following criteria were used for suitability determinations:

1. If survival is greater in the proposed dredged sediment than in the reference site sediment(s), the proposed dredged sediments are not acutely toxic to benthic organisms.
2. If the difference between survival in the proposed dredged sediment and in the reference site sediment(s) is $\leq 20\%$ for *A. abdita*, or $\leq 10\%$ for *N. arenaceodentata*, the proposed dredged sediments are not acutely toxic to benthic organisms.
3. If the difference between survival in the proposed dredged sediment and in the reference site sediment(s) is $> 20\%$ for *A. abdita*, or $> 10\%$ for *N. arenaceodentata*, and the test sediment survival is statistically significantly less than in the reference site sediments, then the test sediments are considered to be acutely toxic to benthic organisms.

4.2.1.1 Sediment Solid-Phase Testing with *Ampelisca abdita* - The results of these tests are summarized in Table 4-8. There was 94% survival at the “Home” sediment Lab Control treatment, indicating an acceptable survival response by the test organisms. There was 76% and 78% survival in the SF-11 and SF-10 reference site samples, respectively. There was 89% survival in the LRT-SO1 COMP site sediment composite, which was $<20\%$ less than either of the reference site sediment survival responses or the Alcatraz Environs database (92%). In addition, survival in the site sediments was $<20\%$ less than the “Home” Lab Control, further supporting that the sediments are not toxic. The test data and summary of statistical analyses for these tests are attached as Appendix D.

Table 4-8. *Ampelisca abdita* survival in the solid-phase test sediments

Sediment Site	% Survival in Test Replicates					Overall Mean % Survival
	Rep A	Rep B	Rep C	Rep D	Rep E	
“Home” Lab Control	100	95	95	90	90	94
Alcatraz (SF-11)	70	75	80	80	75	76
San Pablo (SF-10)	75	100	65	85	65	78
LRT-SO1 COMP	95	90	95	75	90	89

4.2.1.1.1 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to *Ampelisca abdita* - The results of the reference toxicant evaluation of the *Ampelisca abdita* used in these tests are presented in Table 4-9. Statistical analysis of the survival data indicated that the EC₅₀ was 0.83 mg/L Cd. This EC₅₀ value is within the “typical response” range established by the mean \pm 2 SD of the 20 most recent reference toxicant tests performed in our laboratory, indicating that these test organisms were responding to toxic stress in a typical fashion. The test data and summary of statistical analyses for this test are presented in Appendix E.

Table 4-9. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of cadmium on *Ampelisca abdita* survival

Cadmium Treatment (mg/L)	Overall Mean % Survival
Lab Control	85
0.125	70
0.25	70
0.5	60
1*	30*
2*	0*
4*	0*
EC ₅₀ =	0.83 mg/L Cd

* - Significantly less than the Lab Control at p <0.05.

4.2.1.2 Sediment Solid-Phase Testing with *Neanthes arenaceodentata* - The results of these tests are summarized in Table 4.10. There was 98% survival at the Lab Control treatment, indicating an acceptable survival response by the test organisms. There was 100% in the SF-11 and SF-10 reference site sediments. There was 98% survival in the LRT-SO1 COMP sample, which was <10% less than either of the reference site sediment survival responses. In addition, survival in the site sediments was <10% less than the Lab Control, further supporting that the sediments are not toxic. The test data and summary of statistical analyses for these tests are attached as Appendix F.

Table 4-10. *Neanthes arenaceodentata* survival in the test sediments

Sediment Site	% Survival in Test Replicates					Overall Mean % Survival
	Rep A	Rep B	Rep C	Rep D	Rep E	
“Home” Lab Control	100	90	100	100	100	98
Alcatraz (SF-11)	100	100	100	100	100	100
San Pablo (SF-10)	100	100	100	100	100	100
LRT-SO1 COMP	100	100	100	100	90	98

4.2.1.2.1 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to *Neanthes arenaceodentata* - The results of the reference toxicant evaluation of the *Neanthes arenaceodentata* used in these tests are presented in Table 4-11. Statistical analysis of the survival data indicated the EC₅₀ was 5.6 mg/L Cd, which is within the “typical response” range established by the mean \pm 2 SD of the 20 most recent previous tests performed in our laboratory. This reference toxicant response indicates that these organisms were responding to toxicant stress in a typical fashion. The test data and summary of statistical analyses for this test are presented in Appendix G.

Table 4-11. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of cadmium on *Neanthes arenaceodentata* survival

Cadmium Treatment (mg/L)	Overall Mean % Survival
Lab Control	100
1	100
2	100
4	100
8*	0*
16*	0*
EC ₅₀ =	5.6 mg/L Cd

* - Significantly less than the Lab Control at p <0.05

4.2.2 Water Column Toxicity Testing

The 48-hour bivalve embryo development toxicity test was performed to assess the effects of dredged material disposal in the water column. Positive and negative Lab Control treatments were tested concurrently with the site sediment elutriate. The positive Lab Control consisted of a ‘waterborne’ reference toxicant test; the results of this test were compared to our in-house reference toxicant test response database to determine whether these test organisms were responding to toxic stress in a typical fashion. The negative Lab Control consisted of 0.45 μ m-filtered natural seawater (obtained from the U.C. Davis Bodega Bay Marine Laboratory), diluted to a test salinity of 30 ppt via addition of reverse-osmosis de-ionized water.

The test results for the sediment composite elutriate were compared with the test organism responses at the negative Lab Control treatment to determine the potential impact of the proposed dredged materials on pelagic organisms at and beyond the boundaries of the disposal site (USEPA/USACE 1998). The following criteria were used for suitability determinations:

1. If survival and/or normal development in the sediment composite 100% elutriates is equal to or greater than the test organism responses in the negative Lab Control treatment, the dredged material is not predicted to be acutely toxic to water column organisms.

2. If survival and/or normal development in the sediment composite 100% elutriates is <10% less than the test response of the negative Lab Control treatment, the dredged material is not predicted to be acutely toxic to water column organisms, and there is no need for statistical analyses.

3. If survival and/or normal embryo development in the sediment composite 100% elutriates is >10% less than the test response of the negative Lab Control treatment, then the data must be evaluated statistically to determine the LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ dose-response value, which is then compared to the estimated concentration of the sediment during disposal for determination of suitability for disposal at SF-11.

Sediment elutriate suitability calculations were performed for the site composite elutriate to determine suitability of material for disposal at SF-11. In order for the material to be suitable for disposal at SF-11, it must be in compliance with the state's narrative water quality standard. Compliance with the narrative water quality standard is determined by evaluating whether the dredge material concentration, after mixing, would exceed 1% of the LC₅₀ or EC₅₀ value calculated from the sediment elutriate test (whichever is most conservative), outside of the mixing zone. Sediment elutriate suitability calculations were performed as per methods described in the SAP (PER 2005). Results of necessary calculations (presented in Appendix K) indicated that the elutriate for the site composite sediment would not exceed narrative water quality criteria.

4.2.2.1 Sediment Elutriate Testing with *Mytilus sp.* Embryos – The results of the water column tests with the mussel, *Mytilus sp.*, are summarized in Table 4-12. Briefly, the survival LC₅₀ for the LRT-SO1 COMP sample was 66.6% elutriate; the normal development EC₅₀ value for the LRT-SO1 COMP sample was 73.1% elutriate. The test data and the summary of statistical analyses for this test are presented in Appendix H.

Table 4-12. Effects of LRT-SO1 COMP sediment elutriate on *Mytilus sp.* embryos

Elutriate Treatment	Mean % Survival	Mean % Normal Development
Lab Control	93	93
1%	93	90
10%	89	93
25%	95	94
50%	87	86
100%	0	0
LC ₅₀ or EC ₅₀ =	66.6% elutriate	73.1% elutriate
Disposal limit met?	Yes	Yes

4.2.2.1.1 Reference Toxicant Toxicity to *Mytilus sp.* embryos- The embryo development results of this test are summarized in Table 4-13. Briefly, there was 91% normal embryo development at the Lab Control treatment. The EC₅₀ was 7.5 µg/L Cu, which is within the “typical response” range established by the mean ± 2 SD of the 20 most recent previous tests performed in our laboratory, indicating that these test organisms were responding to toxic stress in a typical fashion. The test data and summary of statistical analyses for this test are attached as Appendix I.

Table 4-13. Reference toxicant testing: Effects of copper on *Mytilus sp.* embryos

Copper Treatment (µg/L)	Mean % Normal Embryo Development
Lab Control	91
1.25	91
2.5	88
5	89
10*	2*
15*	0*
20*	0*
EC ₅₀ =	7.5 µg/L Cu

* - Significantly less than the Lab Control treatment response at p <0.05

4.2.3 Biological Testing Quality Lab Control

The biological testing of the sediments with these test species incorporated standard QA/QC procedures to ensure that the test results were valid. Standard QA/QC procedures included the use of negative Lab Controls, positive Lab Controls, test replicates, and measurements of water quality during testing.

Quality assurance procedures that were used for sediment testing are consistent with methods described in the U.S.EPA/ACOE (1991) and U.S.EPA/ACOE (1998). The methods employed in this sediment testing program are detailed in standard guides and procedures maintained in the analytical laboratory.

Sediments for the bioassay testing were stored appropriately at ≤4°C and were used within the 8-week holding time period. The sediment interstitial water characteristics were within test acceptability limits at the start of the tests. The sediment elutriates were prepared using site water.

All measurements of routine water quality characteristics were performed as described in the PER Lab Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs). All biological testing water quality conditions

were within the appropriate limits. Laboratory instruments were calibrated daily according to Lab SOPs, and calibration data were logged and initialed.

Negative Lab Control - The biological responses for all of the test organisms at the negative Lab Control treatments were within acceptable limits.

Positive Lab Control - The accuracy of the responses of the test organisms to toxic stress was evaluated using positive Lab Controls (reference toxicant testing). The key test dose-response EC point estimates determined for the test organisms were within the reference toxicant test “typical response” ranges, indicating that these test species were responding to toxic stress in a typical fashion.

A summary of key reference toxicant database values for *A. abdita*, *N. arenaceodentata*, and *Mytilus sp*, are presented in Tables 4-14 through 4-16, respectively.

Table 4-14. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for *Ampelisca abdita*

Mean EC ₅₀	Standard Deviation	Lower Limit (mean - 2SD)	Upper Limit (mean + 2SD)	Current EC ₅₀
0.39 mg/L	0.51 mg/L	0.13 mg/L	1.15 mg/L	0.83 mg/L

Table 4-15. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for *Neanthes arenaceodentata*

Mean EC ₅₀	Standard Deviation	Lower Limit (mean - 2SD)	Upper Limit (mean + 2SD)	Current EC ₅₀
6.0 mg/L	1.9 mg/L	4.4 mg/L	8.2 mg/L	5.6 mg/L

Table 4-16. Summary of Reference Toxicant Database for *Mytilus sp.*

Mean EC ₅₀	Standard Deviation	Lower Limit (mean - 2SD)	Upper Limit (mean + 2SD)	Current EC ₅₀
12.0 mg/L	7.4 mg/L	6.7 mg/L	21.5 mg/L	7.5 mg/L

5. SUMMARY

A composite sediment sample from the Levin Richmond Terminal area was submitted for full chemical and conventional analyses and biological testing. With the exception of total DDT and total butyltins, all analytical chemistry results were generally within the ambient background concentration ranges for San Francisco Bay (SFRWQCB 2000).

Results from the amphipod and polychaete solid phase bioassays showed no evidence of increased mortality in test sediments compared to either reference sediments or the Alcatraz environs database. Results of water-column toxicity bioassays of the sediment elutriates indicated that narrative water quality limits would be met for unconfined aquatic disposal.

6. REFERENCES


PER 2005. Sediment Characterization Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Levin Richmond Terminal. Pacific EcoRisk, Martinez, CA.

SFRWQCB. 2000. Beneficial Reuse of Dredged Materials Sediment Screening and Testing Guidelines: Draft Staff Report. San Francisco Regional Water Quality Lab Control Board, Oakland, CA.

USEPA/USACE. 1998. Evaluation of dredged material proposed for discharge in waters of the U.S. – testing manual – Inland Testing Manual. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. EPA-823-B-94-002. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water (4305)

Appendix A

Sampling Field Logs and Data Sheets



Pacific EcoRisk

Environmental Consulting and Testing

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, Ca 94553
Phone: (925) 313-8080
Fax: (925) 313-8089

Sediment Core Collection Form

Station ID: LRT-S01-~~SS01~~ Date: 10/17/05
Project Name: Levin Terminal Project No.: 10649
Vertical Datum: MLLW MLW Other:
Depth Measurement: Sounder Leadline
Project Depth: 39 Overdredge: 2


	Attempt 1	Attempt _____	Attempt _____
Time:	1441		
Latitude/Northing	37°55'13"		
Longitude/Easting	122°21'46"		
(A) Measured Water Depth (ft)	44.11		
(B) Tide Height (ft)	4.6		
(C) Mudline Elevation (A-B=C)	36.5		
(D) Calculated Core Length (ft) (PD+OD-C=D)	4.5		
Estimated Penetration (ft)	4.5		
Refusal Encountered?	Y <u>N</u>	Y N	Y N
Total Core Length Recovered (ft)	4.5		

Core Characteristics

Sediment Type	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, <u>silt clay</u> , organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter
Sediment Color	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine
Sediment Odor	<u>None</u> , slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic
Homogenous (H)/Layering (L)	H <u>L</u>	H L	H L
Comments:	<u>Homogenized & composited on 10/18/05</u> <u>Very few bivalve shells</u>		

Recorded by:

AB



Pacific EcoRisk

Environmental Consulting and Testing

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, Ca 94553
Phone: (925) 313-8080
Fax: (925) 313-8089

Sediment Core Collection Form


Station ID: LRT-01-02 Date: 10/17/05
Project Name: Levin Terminal Project No.: 10649
Vertical Datum: MLLW MLW Other:
Depth Measurement: Sounder Leadline
Project Depth: 39 Overdredge: 2

	Attempt <u>1</u>	Attempt <u> </u>	Attempt <u> </u>
Time:	<u>1424</u>		
Latitude/Northing	<u>37°55.131</u>		
Longitude/Easting	<u>122°21.953</u>		
(A) Measured Water Depth (ft)	<u>41.1</u>		
(B) Tide Height (ft)	<u>5.0</u>		
(C) Mudline Elevation (A-B=C)	<u>36.1</u>		
(D) Calculated Core Length (ft) (PD+OD-C=D)	<u>24.9</u>	<u>4.9</u>	
Estimated Penetration (ft)	<u>4.9</u>		
Refusal Encountered?	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>
Total Core Length Recovered (ft)	<u>4.9</u>		

Core Characteristics

Sediment Type	<u>cobble, gravel, sand C M F,</u> <u>silt clay</u> organic matter	<u>cobble, gravel, sand C M F,</u> <u>silt clay, organic matter</u>	<u>cobble, gravel, sand C M F,</u> <u>silt clay, organic matter</u>
Sediment Color	<u>gray, black, brown,</u> <u>brown surface, olivine</u>	<u>gray, black, brown,</u> <u>brown surface, olivine</u>	<u>gray, black, brown,</u> <u>brown surface, olivine</u>
Sediment Odor	<u>None</u> slight, mod, strong <u>H₂S, petroleum, septic</u>	<u>None</u> , slight, mod, strong <u>H₂S, petroleum, septic</u>	<u>None</u> , slight, mod, strong <u>H₂S, petroleum, septic</u>
Homogenous (H)/Layering (L)	<u>H</u> <u>L</u>	<u>H</u> <u>L</u>	<u>H</u> <u>L</u>
Comments:	<u>Homogenized & composited on 10/18/05</u> <u>Few bivalve shells</u> <u>Some small gravel</u>		

Recorded by: AB



Pacific EcoRisk

Environmental Consulting and Testing

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, Ca 94553
Phone: (925) 313-8080
Fax: (925) 313-8089

Sediment Core Collection Form


Station ID: CPT-01-03 Date: 10/17/05
Project Name: Levin Terminal Project No.: 10649
Vertical Datum: MLLW MLW Other:
Depth Measurement: Sounder Leadline
Project Depth: 39 Overdredge: 2

	Attempt 1	Attempt 2	Attempt 3
Time:	1410		
Latitude/Northing	37°55'12"		
Longitude/Easting	122°21.937'		
(A) Measured Water Depth (ft)	43.5		
(B) Tide Height (ft)	5.5		
(C) Mudline Elevation (A-B=C)	38.0		
(D) Calculated Core Length (ft) (PD+OD-C=D)	3.0		
Estimated Penetration (ft)	3.0		
Refusal Encountered?	Y	N	Y N
Total Core Length Recovered (ft)	3.0		

Core Characteristics

Sediment Type	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter
Sediment Color	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine
Sediment Odor	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic
Homogenous (H)/Layering (L)	H L	H L	H L
Comments:	Homogenized & Composted 10/18/05		

Recorded by: AB



Pacific EcoRisk

Environmental Consulting and Testing

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, Ca 94553
Phone: (925) 313-8080
Fax: (925) 313-8089

Sediment Core Collection Form


Station ID: LRT-01-04 Date: 10/17/05
Project Name: Levin Terminal Project No.: 10649
Vertical Datum: MLLW MLW Other:
Depth Measurement: Sounder Leadline
Project Depth: 39 Overdredge: 2

	Attempt 1	Attempt 2	Attempt 3
Time:	<u>1343</u>		
Latitude/Northing	<u>37°55.108</u>		
Longitude/Easting	<u>122°21.918</u>		
(A) Measured Water Depth (ft)	<u>44.0</u>		
(B) Tide Height (ft)	<u>5.7</u>		
(C) Mudline Elevation (A-B=C)	<u>38.3</u>		
(D) Calculated Core Length (ft) (PD+OD-C=D)	<u>2.7</u>		
Estimated Penetration (ft)	<u>2.7</u>		
Refusal Encountered?	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>	<u>Y</u> <u>N</u>
Total Core Length Recovered (ft)	<u>2.7</u>		

Core Characteristics

Sediment Type	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, <u>silt clay</u> , organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter
Sediment Color	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine
Sediment Odor	<u>None</u> , slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic
Homogenous (H)/Layering (L)	<u>H</u> <u>L</u>	H L	H L
Comments:	<u>homogenized & composited on 10/18/05</u> <u>Some small gravel</u>		

Recorded by:



Pacific EcoRisk

Environmental Consulting and Testing

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, Ca 94553
Phone: (925) 313-8080
Fax: (925) 313-8089

Sediment Core Collection Form

Station ID: LRT-S01-05 Date: 10/17/05
Project Name: Levin Terminal Project No.: 10649
Vertical Datum: MLLW MLW Other:
Depth Measurement: Sounder Leadline
Project Depth: 39 Overdredge: 2

	Attempt 1	Attempt _____	Attempt _____
Time:	1330		
Latitude/Northing	37° 55.101		
Longitude/Easting	122° 21.904		
(A) Measured Water Depth (ft)	41.8		
(B) Tide Height (ft)	6.2 ft		
(C) Mudline Elevation (A-B=C)	35.6		
(D) Calculated Core Length (ft) (PD+OD-C=D) PD+OD=41	4.4 ^{AB} 5.4		
Estimated Penetration (ft)	5.4		
Refusal Encountered?	Y N	Y N	Y N
Total Core Length Recovered (ft)	5.4		

Core Characteristics

Sediment Type	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter	cobble, gravel, sand C M F, silt clay, organic matter
Sediment Color	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine	gray, black, brown, brown surface, olivine
Sediment Odor	None slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic	None, slight, mod, strong H ₂ S, petroleum, septic
Homogenous (H)/Layering (L)	H L	H L	H L
Comments: Homogenized & composited on 10/18/05			

Recorded by:

AB

Appendix B

Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Data Report

April 20, 2006

Service Request No: K0505291-a

Jeffrey Cotsifas
Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
835 Arnold Dr.
Suite 104
Martinez, CA 94553

RE: LTC

Dear Jeffrey:


Enclosed are the results of the sample submitted to our laboratory on October 28, 2005. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number K0505291.

All analyses were performed according to our laboratory's quality assurance program. The test results meet requirements of the NELAC standards except as noted in the case narrative report. All results are intended to be considered in their entirety, and Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. (CAS) is not responsible for use of less than the complete report. Results apply only to the items submitted to the laboratory for analysis and individual items (samples) analyzed, as listed in the report.

Please call if you have any questions. My extension is 3358.

Respectfully submitted,

Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.


Lynda Huckestein
Client Services Manager

LH/jeb

Page 1 of 52

Acronyms

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
A2LA	American Association for Laboratory Accreditation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CAS Number	Chemical Abstract Service registry Number
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbon
CFU	Colony-Forming Unit
DEC	Department of Environmental Conservation
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality
DHS	Department of Health Services
DOE	Department of Ecology
DOH	Department of Health
EPA	U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
ELAP	Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program
GC	Gas Chromatography
GC/MS	Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry
LUFT	Leaking Underground Fuel Tank
M	Modified
MCL	Maximum Contaminant Level is the highest permissible concentration of a substance allowed in drinking water as established by the USEPA.
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MPN	Most Probable Number
MRL	Method Reporting Limit
NA	Not Applicable
NC	Not Calculated
NCASI	National Council of the Paper Industry for Air and Stream Improvement
ND	Not Detected
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
SIM	Selected Ion Monitoring
TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
tr	Trace level is the concentration of an analyte that is less than the PQL but greater than or equal to the MDL.

Inorganic Data Qualifiers

- * The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable. See case narrative.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- J The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
 - i The MRL/MDL has been elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.

Metals Data Qualifiers

- # The control limit criteria is not applicable. See case narrative.
- B The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
- E The percent difference for the serial dilution was greater than 10%, indicating a possible matrix interference in the sample.
- M The duplicate injection precision was not met.
- N The Matrix Spike sample recovery is not within control limits. See case narrative.
- S The reported value was determined by the Method of Standard Additions (MSA).
- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
- W The post-digestion spike for furnace AA analysis is out of control limits, while sample absorbance is less than 50% of spike absorbance.
 - i The MRL/MDL has been elevated due to a matrix interference.
- X See case narrative.
- * The duplicate analysis not within control limits. See case narrative.
- + The correlation coefficient for the MSA is less than 0.995.

Organic Data Qualifiers

- * The result is an outlier. See case narrative.
- # The control limit criteria is not applicable. See case narrative.
- A A tentatively identified compound, a suspected aldol-condensation product.
- B The analyte was found in the associated method blank at a level that is significant relative to the sample result.
- C The analyte was qualitatively confirmed using GC/MS techniques, pattern recognition, or by comparing to historical data.
- D The reported result is from a dilution.
- E The result is an estimate amount because the value exceeded the instrument calibration range.
- J The result is an estimated concentration that is less than the MRL but greater than or equal to the MDL.
- N The result is presumptive. The analyte was tentatively identified, but a confirmation analysis was not performed.
- P The GC or HPLC confirmation criteria was exceeded. The relative percent difference is greater than 40% between the two analytical results (25% for CLP Pesticides).
- U The compound was analyzed for, but was not detected ("Non-detect") at or above the MRL/MDL.
 - i The MRL/MDL has been elevated due to a chromatographic interference.
- X See case narrative.

Additional Petroleum Hydrocarbon Specific Qualifiers

- F The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample matches the elution pattern of the calibration standard.
- L The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of lighter molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- H The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product, but the elution pattern indicates the presence of a greater amount of heavier molecular weight constituents than the calibration standard.
- O The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles an oil, but does not match the calibration standard.
- Y The chromatographic fingerprint of the sample resembles a petroleum product eluting in approximately the correct carbon range, but the elution pattern does not match the calibration standard.
- Z The chromatographic fingerprint does not resemble a petroleum product.

Case Narrative

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request No.: K0505291
Date Received: 10/28/05

CASE NARRATIVE

All analyses were performed consistent with the quality assurance program of Columbia Analytical Services, Inc. (CAS). This report contains analytical results for samples designated for Tier II data deliverables. When appropriate to the method, method blank results have been reported with each analytical test. Surrogate recoveries have been reported for all applicable organic analyses. Additional quality control analyses reported herein include: Laboratory Duplicate (DUP), Matrix Spike (MS), Matrix/Duplicate Matrix Spike (MS/DMS), and Laboratory Control Sample (LCS).

Sample Receipt

One sediment sample was received for analysis at Columbia Analytical Services on 10/28/05. The sample was received in good condition and consistent with the accompanying chain of custody form. The sample was stored frozen by Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories prior to shipment to the laboratory.

General Chemistry Parameters

No anomalies associated with the analysis of these samples were observed.

Total Metals

No anomalies associated with the analysis of these samples were observed.

Organochlorine Pesticides by EPA Method 8081A

Continuing Calibration Verification Exceptions:

The primary evaluation criterion was exceeded for the following analytes in Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) 1129F004: Tetrachloro-m-xylene and 1129F019: Tetrachloro-m-xylene,. In accordance with CAS standard operating procedures, the alternative evaluation specified in the EPA method was performed using the average percent recovery of all analytes in the verification standard. The standard meets the alternative evaluation criteria.

Sample Confirmation Notes:

The confirmation comparison criterion of 40% difference for a few analytes was exceeded in sample LRT-S01 Comp. The higher of the two values is reported when no evidence of a peak anomaly was observed; the lower of the two values was reported when an apparent interference on the alternate column produced a higher value.

Elevated Method Reporting Limits:

The reporting limit is elevated for several analytes in sample LRT-S01 Comp. The chromatogram indicated the presence of non-target background components. The matrix interference prevented adequate resolution of the target compounds at the reporting limit. The results are flagged to indicate the matrix interference.

Approved by ll

Date 4/20/06

00005

The reporting limit is elevated for all analytes in sample LRT-S01 Comp. The sample extract was diluted prior to instrumental analysis due to relatively high levels of non-target background components. The extract was highly colored and viscous, which indicated the need to perform a dilution prior to injection into the instrument. Clean-up of the extract was performed within the scope of the method, but did not eliminate enough of the background components to prevent dilution. A semi-quantitative screen was performed prior to final analysis. The results of the screening indicated the need to perform a dilution. The results are flagged to indicate the matrix interference.

Matrix Spike Recovery and Relative Percent Difference Exceptions:

The matrix spike recoveries and relative percent difference of several analytes for sample Batch QC were outside control criteria because of suspected matrix interference. Sample was black, thick and oily and required a dilution prior to GPC. As a result of the interference, the results for these analytes might contain a low or high bias. No further corrective action was taken.

The control criteria for matrix spike recoveries of Aldrin, 4,4'-DDD, and Methoxychlor for sample Batch QC are not applicable. The analyte concentration in the sample was significantly higher than the added spike concentration, preventing accurate evaluation of the spike recovery.

PCB Aroclors by EPA Method 8082

Continuing Calibration Verification Exceptions:

The primary evaluation criterion was exceeded for the following analytes in Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) 1115F003, 1115F020, 1115F048: Decachlorobiphenyl. In accordance with CAS standard operating procedures, the alternative evaluation specified in the EPA method was performed using the average percent recovery of all analytes in the verification standard. The standard meets the alternative evaluation criteria.

Elevated Method Reporting Limits:

The reporting limit is elevated for Aroclor 1254 in sample LRT-S01 Comp. The chromatogram indicated the presence of organochlorine pesticides and other non-target background components, which prevented adequate resolution of the target compounds at the reporting limit. The results are flagged to indicate the matrix interference.

Matrix Spike Recovery Exceptions:

The matrix spike recoveries of Aroclor 1260 for sample Batch QC were outside control criteria. Recovery in the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) was acceptable, which indicates the analytical batch was in control. The matrix spike outlier suggests a potential high bias in this matrix. All sample results were ND for this service request, no further corrective action was appropriate.

Organotin Compounds

Continuing Calibration Verification Exceptions:

The analysis of Butyltins requires the use of dual column confirmation. When the Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) criteria is met for both columns, the higher of the two sample results is generally reported. The primary evaluation criteria were not met on the confirmation column for Tri-n-propyltin. The results are reported from the column with an acceptable CCV. The data quality is not affected. No further corrective action was necessary.

Elevated Method Reporting Limits:

The reporting limit is elevated for Di-n-butyltin in Method Blank (MB) KWG0518939-4. The chromatogram indicated the presence of non-target background components. The matrix interference prevented adequate resolution of the target compound at the reporting limit. The result is flagged to indicate the matrix interference.

Approved by UJ

Date 4/20/06

00016

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA Method 8270C

Initial Calibration Exceptions:

The primary evaluation criterion was exceeded for the following analytes in Initial Calibration (ICAL) ID CAL4880: 2-Methylnaphthalene, Dibenz(a,h)anthracene. In accordance with CAS standard operating procedures, the alternative evaluation specified in the EPA method was performed using the mean Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) of all analytes in the calibration. The result of the mean RSD calculation was 12.3%. The calibration meets the alternative evaluation criteria. Note that CAS/Kelso policy does not allow the use of averaging if any analyte in the ICAL exceeds 30% RSD.

Approved by _____ Date _____

00007

**Chain of Custody
Documentation**

00008

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

PACIFIC ECORISK

**835 Arnold Drive, Suite 104
Martinez, CA 94553
(925)313-8080 fax: (925)313-8089**

RESULTS TO:

BILL TO

PER

835 Arnold Dr Suite 104

Martinez, CA 94553

Attn: Jeff Cotsitas

Tel: 925-313-8082

PER

Tel:

PROJECT: LRTC

ANALYSES REQUESTED

METHOD OF SHIPMENT: **FED X** _____ **UPS** _____ **HAND** _____ **OTHER** _____

CODES

RELINQUISHED BY: (SIGNATURE)

DATI

TIME

RECEIVED BY: (SIGNATURE)

DAT

TIME

PAGE #

~~Alex Bide~~

10/27/05 1535

Jany Black CAA

10/28/3- 1000

OF

ANALYTE LIST

Pacific EcoRisk
835 Arnold Drive, Ste. 104
Martinez, CA 94553

Project Proponent: Pacific EcoRisk

Project #: 10649

Site #: LRT-S01 and LRT-S02

STANDARD LIST

Arsenic	6020	X
Cadmium	6020	X
Chromium	6020	X
Copper	6020	X
Lead	6020	X
Mercury	7471	X
Nickel	6020	X
Selenium	7742	X
Silver	6020	X
Zinc	6020	X
Sulfides, dissolved	4500S-M	
Butyltins (Tetra-mono)	Krone et al	X
TOC	Plumb 1981/ASTM	X
Grain Size	Plumb 1981/ASTM	X
Pesticides	8081A	X
PCBs	8082	X
PAHs	8270C-SIM	X
Total Solids	SMEWW 2540 B	X

ADDITIONAL TESTS

WET Metals (DI Water)	CAM*
TRPH	418.2
Sulfides, total	4500S
Phthalates	8270
Phenols	8270

* Samples analyzed for metals listed above.

If you have any questions regarding this request as checked,
please call Jeff Cotsifas at (925) 313-8080.

(Rev. 12/01)

00010

Columbia Analytical Services Inc.
Cooler Receipt and Preservation Form

PC Lindig

Project/Client Mac Geekz

Service Request K05 5291

Cooler received on 10/28/19 and opened on 10/28/19 by T. Hause

- | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 1. | Were custody seals on outside of coolers? | Y | N |
| | If yes, how many and where? _____ | | |
| 2. | Were custody seals intact? | Y | N |
| 3. | Were signature and date present on the custody seals? | Y | N |
| 4. | Is the shipper's airbill available and filed? If no, record airbill number: _____ | O | N |
| 5. | COC# | | |
| | Temperature of cooler(s) upon receipt: (°C) | 44 | |
| | Temperature Blank: (°C) | Ma | |
| | Were samples hand delivered on the same day as collection? | Y | N |
| 6. | Were custody papers properly filled out (ink, signed, etc.)? | Y | N |
| 7. | Type of packing material present | gel pads - binary | |
| 8. | Did all bottles arrive in good condition (unbroken)? | Y | N |
| 9. | Were all bottle labels complete (i.e analysis, preservation, etc.)? | Y | N |
| 10. | Did all bottle labels and tags agree with custody papers? | Y | N |
| 11. | Were the correct types of bottles used for the tests indicated? | Y | N |
| 12. | Were all of the preserved bottles received at the lab with the appropriate pH? | Y | N |
| 13. | Were VOA vials checked for absence of air bubbles, and if present, noted below? | Y | N |
| 14. | Were the 1631 Mercury bottles checked for absence of air bubbles, and if present, noted below? | Y | N |
| 15. | Did the bottles originate from CAS/K or a branch laboratory? | Y | N |
| 16. | Are CWA Microbiology samples received with >1/2 the 24hr. hold time remaining from collection? | Y | N |

17. Was C12/Res negative?
Explain any discrepancies: I like your circled for CRT-2-Camp - Able to contain

RESOLUTION:

Samples that required preservation or received out of temperature:

09011

Total Solids

00012

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A**Total Solids**

Prep Method: NONE **Units:** PERCENT
Analysis Method: 160.3M **Basis:** Wet

Test Notes:

Sample Name	Lab Code	Date Collected	Date Received	Date Analyzed	Result	Result Notes
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	10/17/2005	10/28/2005	10/31/2005	44.4	

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories **Service Request:** K0505291A
Project: LRTC **Date Collected:** 10/17/2005
Sample Matrix: Soil **Date Received:** 10/28/2005
 Date Analyzed: 10/31/2005

Duplicate Sample Summary
Total Solids

Prep Method:	NONE				Units:	PERCENT
Analysis Method:	160.3M				Basis:	Wet
Test Notes:						
Sample Name	Lab Code	Sample Result	Duplicate Sample Result	Average	Relative Percent Difference	Result Notes
Batch QC	K0505291-002	44.7	44.9	44.8	<1	

00014

General Chemistry Parameters

00015

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Report

Client : Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project Name : LRTC
Project Number : NA
Sample Matrix : SEDIMENT

Service Request : K0505291
Date Collected : 10/17/05
Date Received : 10/28/05

Carbon, Total Organic

Analysis Method : ASTM D4129-82M

Test Notes :

Units : PERCENT
Basis : Dry

Sample Name	Lab Code	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed	Result	Result Notes
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	0.05	1	11/09/05	1.72	
Method Blank	K0505291-MB	0.05	1	11/09/05	ND	

00016

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client : Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project Name : LRTC
Project Number : NA
Sample Matrix : SEDIMENT

Service Request : K0505291
Date Collected : 10/17/05
Date Received : 10/28/05
Date Prepared : 11/04/05
Date Analyzed : 11/09/05

Duplicate Summary
Inorganic Parameters

Sample Name : LRT-S01 Comp Units : PERCENT
Lab Code : K0505291-001DUP Basis : Dry
Test Notes :

Analyte	Analysis Method	MRL	Duplicate		Relative	
			Sample Result	Sample Result	Average	Percent Difference
Carbon, Total Organic	ASTM D4129-82M	0.05	1.72	2.03	1.88	16

00017

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client : Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project Name : LRTC
Project Number : NA
Sample Matrix : SEDIMENT

Service Request : K0505291
Date Collected : 10/17/05
Date Received : 10/28/05
Date Prepared : 11/04/05
Date Analyzed : 11/09/05

Matrix Spike Summary
Inorganic Parameters

Sample Name : LRT-S01 Comp **Units :** PERCENT
Lab Code : K0505291-001MS **Basis :** Dry
Test Notes :

Analyte	Analysis Method	MRL	Spike Level	Sample Result	Spiked Sample Result	Percent Recovery	CAS Percent Recovery	Acceptance Limits	Result Notes
							Recovery		
Carbon, Total Organic	ASTM D4129-82M	0.05	7.93	1.72	8.84	90	75-125		

00018

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client : Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project Name : LRTC
Project Number : NA
Sample Matrix : SEDIMENT

Service Request : K0505291
Date Collected : NA
Date Received : NA
Date Prepared : 11/04/05
Date Analyzed : 11/09/05

Laboratory Control Sample Summary Inorganic Parameters

Sample Name : Laboratory Control Sample Units : PERCENT
Lab Code : K0505291-LCS Basis : Dry

Test Notes :

Analyte	Prep Method	Analysis Method	True Value	Result	Percent Recovery	CAS	Acceptance Limits	Result Notes
						Percent Recovery		
Carbon, Total Organic	Method	ASTM D4129-82M	0.75	0.73	97	85-115		

00019

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/18/2005

Particle Size Determination
 ASTM Method D422 Modified

Sample Name: LRT-S01 Comp
 Lab Code: K0505291-001

Sand Fraction: Weight (Grams)	4.1079
Sand Fraction: Weight Recovered (Grams)	4.1066
Sand Fraction: Percent Recovery	100

Weight as received (Grams)	40.0186
Percent Solids	46.3
Weight Oven-Dried (Grams)	18.5286

Description	Sieve Size	Sieve Number	Dry Weight (Grams)	Percent of Total Weight Recovered
Gravel, Medium	4.75 mm	4	0.0324	0.17
Gravel, Fine	2.00 mm	10	0.5797	3.13
Sand, Very Coarse	0.850 mm	20	0.6623	3.57
Sand, Coarse	0.425 mm	40	0.4757	2.57
Sand, Medium	0.250 mm	60	0.5739	3.10
Sand, Fine	0.106 mm	140	1.2331	6.66
Sand, Very Fine	0.075 mm	200	0.4174	2.25
Silt			5.5750	30.1
Clay			8.5350	46.1
Total			18.0845	97.6

Approved By:

*Karen E. H.*Date: 11/21/05

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/18/2005

Particle Size Determination
ASTM Method D422 Modified

Sample Name: LRT-S01 Comp
Lab Code: K0505291-001DUP

Sand Fraction: Weight (Grams)	4.7509
Sand Fraction: Weight Recovered (Grams)	4.7019
Sand Fraction: Percent Recovery	99.0

Weight as received (Grams)	40.1161
Percent Solids	46.3
Weight Oven-Dried (Grams)	18.5738

Description	Sieve Size	Sieve Number	Dry Weight (Grams)	Percent of Total Weight Recovered
Gravel, Medium	4.75 mm	4	0.8112	4.37
Gravel, Fine	2.00 mm	10	0.7124	3.84
Sand, Very Coarse	0.850 mm	20	0.5581	3.00
Sand, Coarse	0.425 mm	40	0.4319	2.33
Sand, Medium	0.250 mm	60	0.5415	2.92
Sand, Fine	0.106 mm	140	1.1381	6.13
Sand, Very Fine	0.075 mm	200	0.4098	2.21
Silt			5.9500	32.0
Clay			8.5300	45.9
Total			19.0830	103

Approved By: _____

Date:

11/21/05

00021

00021

Page No.:

Metals

00022

METALS

- Cover Page -

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA PACKAGE

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.:

Project Name: LRTC

Sample No.	Lab Sample ID.
Batch QCD	K0505047-003D
Batch QCS	K0505047-003S
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001
LRT-S02 Comp	K0505291-002
Method Blank	K0505291-MB
Batch QCD	K0505572-026D
Batch QCS	K0505572-026S

LL 4/20/06

Were ICP interelement corrections applied?

Yes/No YES

Were ICP background corrections applied?

Yes/No YES

If yes-were raw data generated before
application of background corrections?

Yes/No NO

Comments: _____

Signature: John M. AndertDate: 11/23/05

00023

METALS

-1-

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.: NA

Date Collected: 10/17/05

Project Name: LRTC

Date Received: 10/28/05

Matrix: SEDIMENT

Units: MG/KG

Basis: Dry

Sample Name: LRT-S01 Comp

Lab Code: K0505291-001

Analyte	Analysis Method	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Result	C	Q
Arsenic	6020	0.5	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	7.1		
Cadmium	6020	0.05	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.44		
Chromium	6020	1.0	25	11/15/05	11/16/05	78.9		
Copper	6020	0.1	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	48.1		
Lead	6020	0.05	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	35.2		
Mercury	7471A	0.02	1	11/1/05	11/7/05	0.31		
Nickel	6020	0.2	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	56.6		
Selenium	7742	0.1	2	11/10/05	11/22/05	0.2		
Silver	6020	0.02	5	11/10/05	11/17/05	0.32		
Zinc	6020	0.5	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	95.3		

% Solids: 44.4

00024

Comments:

00025

METALS

-1-

INORGANIC ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.: NA

Date Collected:

Project Name: LRTC

Date Received:

Matrix: SOIL

Units: MG/KG

Basis: Dry

Sample Name: Method Blank

Lab Code: K0505291-MB

Analyte	Analysis Method	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Result	C	Q
Arsenic	6020	0.5	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.5	U	
Cadmium	6020	0.05	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.05	U	
Chromium	6020	0.2	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.2	U	
Copper	6020	0.1	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.1	U	
Lead	6020	0.05	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.05	U	
Mercury	7471A	0.02	1	11/1/05	11/7/05	0.02	U	
Nickel	6020	0.2	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.2	U	
Selenium	7742	0.1	2	11/10/05	11/22/05	0.1	U	
Silver	6020	0.02	5	11/10/05	11/17/05	0.02	U	
Zinc	6020	0.5	5	11/15/05	11/16/05	0.5	U	

% Solids: 100.0

00025

Comments:

- 00027

Columbia Analytical Services

METALS

- 5a -

SPIKE SAMPLE RECOVERY

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.:

Units: mg/kg

Project Name: LRTC

Basis: Dry

Matrix: SEDIMENT

% Solids: 72.7

Sample Name: Batch QCS

Lab Code: K0505572-026S

Analyte	Control Limit %R	Spike Result C	Sample Result C	Spike Added	%R	Q	Method
Arsenic	70 - 122	118	4.1	113	101		6020
Cadmium	77 - 122	11.6	0.08	11.3	102		6020
Chromium	67 - 138	88.1	28.1	45.1	133		6020
Copper	50 - 142	65.3	6.5	56.4	104		6020
Lead	74 - 117	126	9.87	113	103		6020
Mercury	61 - 129	0.60	0.16	0.48	91		7471A
Nickel	73 - 121	152	33.2	113	105		6020
Selenium	64 - 120	112	0.1 U	115	97		7742
Silver	70 - 130	11.0	0.04	11.3	97		6020
Zinc	51 - 153	138	27.3	113	98		6020

00026

An empty field in the Control Limit column indicates the control limit is not applicable.

00028

Columbia Analytical Services**METALS**

- 6 -

DUPPLICATES

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.:

Units: mg/kg

Project Name: LRTC

Basis: Dry

Matrix: SEDIMENT

% Solids: 72.7

Sample Name: Batch QCD

Lab Code: K0505572-026D

Analyte	Control Limit (%)	Sample (S) C	Duplicate (D) C	RPD	Q	Method
Arsenic	30	4.1	4.3	5		6020
Cadmium		0.08	0.07	8		6020
Chromium	30	28.1	29.3	5		6020
Copper	30	6.5	6.8	5		6020
Lead	30	9.87	10.2	3		6020
Mercury	30	0.16	0.16	1		7471A
Nickel	30	33.2	35.4	6		6020
Selenium		0.1 U	0.1 U			7742
Silver		0.04	0.02	47		6020
Zinc	30	27.3	28.2	3		6020

00027

An empty field in the Control Limit column indicates the control limit is not applicable.

Columbia Analytical Services**METALS****- 7 -****LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE**

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories

Service Request: K0505291

Project No.:

Project Name: LRTC

Aqueous LCS Source: Inorganic Ventures

Solid LCS Source: ERA Lot #246

Analyte	Aqueous mg/L			Solid (mg/kg)				
	True	Found	%R	True	Found	C	Limits	%R
Arsenic				146	135	116	176	92
Cadmium				91.9	92.3	74.9	109	100
Chromium				176	186	138	214	106
Copper				70.0	65.8	57.5	82.6	94
Lead				68.1	70.0	54.9	81.3	103
Mercury				1.49	1.75	0.852	2.12	117
Nickel				84.0	80.7	68.5	99.5	96
Selenium				73.0	81.4	55.1	90.8	112
Silver				93.0	98.6	57.0	129	106
Zinc				402	363	319	485	90

00028
00030

Organochlorine Pesticides
EPA Method 8081

00029

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005

Organochlorine Pesticides

Sample Name:	LRT-S01 Comp	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505291-001	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3540C	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8081A		

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
alpha-BHC	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
beta-BHC	ND U	1.1	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
delta-BHC	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Heptachlor	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Aldrin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Heptachlor Epoxide	1.7 P	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
gamma-Chlordane†	ND Ui	1.7	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan I	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
alpha-Chlordane	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Dieldrin	8.7 P	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDE	28	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan II	1.3	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDD	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin Aldehyde	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDT	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin Ketone	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Methoxychlor	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	
Toxaphene	ND Ui	84	1	11/02/05	11/30/05	KWG0518937	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	71	38-125	11/30/05	Acceptable
Decachlorobiphenyl	82	26-166	11/30/05	Acceptable

† Analyte Comments

gamma-Chlordane For this analyte (CAS Registry No. 5103-74-2), USEPA has corrected the name to be beta-Chlordane, also known as trans-Chlordane.

Comments: _____

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA

Organochlorine Pesticides

Sample Name: Method Blank **Units:** ug/Kg
Lab Code: KWG0518937-4 **Basis:** Dry
Extraction Method: EPA 3540C **Level:** Low
Analysis Method: 8081A

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
alpha-BHC	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
beta-BHC	ND U	0.48	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
delta-BHC	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Heptachlor	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Aldrin	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
gamma-Chlordane†	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan I	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
alpha-Chlordane	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Dieldrin	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDE	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan II	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDD	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin Aldehyde	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
4,4'-DDT	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Endrin Ketone	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Methoxychlor	ND U	0.45	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	
Toxaphene	ND U	23	1	11/02/05	11/29/05	KWG0518937	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Tetrachloro-m-xylene	88	38-125	11/29/05	Acceptable
Decachlorobiphenyl	100	26-166	11/29/05	Acceptable

Analyte Comments

gamma-Chlordane For this analyte (CAS Registry No. 5103-74-2), USEPA has corrected the name to be beta-Chlordane, also known as trans-Chlordane.

Comments: _____

00031

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A**Surrogate Recovery Summary
Organochlorine Pesticides**

Extraction Method: EPA 3540C
Analysis Method: 8081A

Units: PERCENT
Level: Low

Sample Name	Lab Code	Sur1	Sur2
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	71	82
Method Blank	KWG0518937-4	88	100
Batch QC	K0505215-004	68 D	46 D
Batch QCMS	KWG0518937-1	121 D	58 D
Batch QCDMS	KWG0518937-2	57 D	30 D
Lab Control Sample	KWG0518937-3	75	90

Surrogate Recovery Control Limits (%)

Sur1 = Tetrachloro-m-xylene 38-125
Sur2 = Decachlorobiphenyl 26-166

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

00032

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/30/2005

Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary
Organochlorine Pesticides

Sample Name:	Batch QC	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505215-004	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3540C	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8081A	Extraction Lot:	KWG0518937

Analyte Name	Sample Result	Batch QCMS			Batch QCDMS			%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit			
		Batch QCMS			Batch QCDMS								
		Matrix Spike			Duplicate Matrix Spike								
		Result	Expected	%Rec	Result	Expected	%Rec						
alpha-BHC	ND	5.87	20.0	29 *	9.15	20.0	46	41-148	44	50			
beta-BHC	ND	33.5	20.0	168 *	38.9	20.0	195 *	37-152	15	50			
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	ND	23.6	20.0	118	23.8	20.0	119	45-153	1	50			
delta-BHC	ND	36.7	20.0	184 *	34.0	20.0	170 *	35-162	8	50			
Heptachlor	ND	17.1	20.0	86	14.9	20.0	75	35-151	14	50			
Aldrin	80	172	20.0	462 #	173	20.0	465 #	39-143	0	50			
Heptachlor Epoxide	ND	36.1	20.0	181 *	23.5	20.0	118	37-148	42	50			
gamma-Chlordane	ND	42.7	20.0	214 *	44.6	20.0	223 *	33-161	4	50			
Endosulfan I	ND	18.0	20.0	90	21.3	20.0	107	10-141	17	50			
alpha-Chlordane	ND	33.1	20.0	166 *	29.5	20.0	148 *	40-140	12	50			
Dieldrin	ND	25.3	20.0	127	39.4	20.0	197 *	48-142	44	50			
4,4'-DDE	ND	13.6	20.0	68	13.2	20.0	66	35-146	3	50			
Endrin	ND	7.80	20.0	39 *	8.12	20.0	41 *	44-146	4	50			
Endosulfan II	ND	27.6	20.0	138 *	52.0	20.0	260 *	21-135	61 *	50			
4,4'-DDD	130	182	20.0	283 #	169	20.0	217 #	32-156	8	50			
Endrin Aldehyde	ND	15.2	20.0	76	17.7	20.0	89	18-137	16	50			
Endosulfan Sulfate	ND	30.7	20.0	154 *	33.5	20.0	168 *	39-148	8	50			
4,4'-DDT	ND	57.4	20.0	287 *	26.3	20.0	132	31-161	74 *	50			
Endrin Ketone	72	80.5	20.0	41	75.4	20.0	16 *	37-149	7	50			
Methoxychlor	150	143	20.0	-15 #	127	20.0	-95 #	35-158	12	50			

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00033

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/30/2005

**Lab Control Spike Summary
Organochlorine Pesticides**

Extraction Method: EPA 3540C
Analysis Method: 8081A

Units: ug/Kg

Basis: Dry

Level: Low

Extraction Lot: KWG0518937

Lab Control Sample
KWG0518937-3
Lab Control Spike

Analyte Name	Result	Expected	%Rec	%Rec
				Limits
alpha-BHC	20.4	20.0	102	67-130
beta-BHC	20.6	20.0	103	66-134
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	20.6	20.0	103	70-125
delta-BHC	22.7	20.0	113	78-139
Heptachlor	20.7	20.0	104	69-120
Aldrin	21.3	20.0	107	67-120
Heptachlor Epoxide	22.2	20.0	111	70-117
gamma-Chlordane	21.8	20.0	109	74-117
Endosulfan I	15.3	20.0	76	50-112
alpha-Chlordane	21.1	20.0	106	72-116
Dieldrin	21.3	20.0	107	74-121
4,4'-DDE	22.4	20.0	112	73-126
Endrin	22.6	20.0	113	76-127
Endosulfan II	17.1	20.0	86	59-116
4,4'-DDD	21.8	20.0	109	74-130
Endrin Aldehyde	20.6	20.0	103	29-138
Endosulfan Sulfate	21.4	20.0	107	70-124
4,4'-DDT	21.4	20.0	107	75-132
Endrin Ketone	21.7	20.0	108	72-123
Methoxychlor	23.8	20.0	119	68-137

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00034

Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PCB's
EPA Method 8082

00035

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Sample Name:	LRT-S01 Comp	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505291-001	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3540C	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8082		

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Aroclor 1016	ND U	10	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1221	ND U	20	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1232	ND U	10	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1242	ND U	10	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1248	ND U	10	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1254	ND Ui	79	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1260	ND U	10	1	11/02/05	11/16/05	KWG0518938	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Decachlorobiphenyl	81	20-161	11/16/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

00036

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
 Project: LRTC
 Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
 Date Collected: NA
 Date Received: NA

Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Sample Name: Method Blank Units: ug/Kg
 Lab Code: KWG0518938-4 Basis: Dry
 Extraction Method: EPA 3540C Level: Low
 Analysis Method: 8082

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Aroclor 1016	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1221	ND U	8.9	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1232	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1242	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1248	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1254	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	
Aroclor 1260	ND U	4.5	1	11/02/05	11/15/05	KWG0518938	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Decachlorobiphenyl	100	20-161	11/15/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

00037

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A**Surrogate Recovery Summary
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)**

Extraction Method: EPA 3540C
Analysis Method: 8082

Units: PERCENT
Level: Low

<u>Sample Name</u>	<u>Lab Code</u>	<u>Sur1</u>
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	81
Method Blank	KWG0518938-4	100
Batch QC	K0505215-005	88
Batch QCMS	KWG0518938-1	83
Batch QCDMS	KWG0518938-2	93
Lab Control Sample	KWG0518938-3	97

Surrogate Recovery Control Limits (%)

Sur1 = Decachlorobiphenyl 20-161

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

00038

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/15/2005 -
 11/16/2005

Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Sample Name:	Batch QC	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505215-005	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3540C	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8082	Extraction Lot:	KWG0518938

Analyte Name	Sample Result	Batch QCMS KWG0518938-1			Batch QCDMS KWG0518938-2			%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit			
		Matrix Spike			Duplicate Matrix Spike								
		Result	Expected	%Rec	Result	Expected	%Rec						
Aroclor 1016	ND	231	200	115	242	199	121	33-155	5	50			
Aroclor 1260	ND	378	200	189 *	377	199	189 *	36-161	0	50			

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00039

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/15/2005

Lab Control Spike Summary
Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Extraction Method: EPA 3540C
Analysis Method: 8082

Units: ug/Kg
Basis: Dry
Level: Low
Extraction Lot: KWG0518938

Analyte Name	Lab Control Sample KWG0518938-3			%Rec Limits	
	Lab Control Spike				
	Result	Expected	%Rec		
Aroclor 1016	215	200	108	43-141	
Aroclor 1260	223	200	112	50-145	

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

Butyltins

00041

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005

Butyltins (as cation)

Sample Name: LRT-S01 Comp
Lab Code: K0505291-001

Units: ug/Kg
Basis: Dry

Extraction Method: METHOD
Analysis Method: Krone

Level: Low

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Tetra-n-butyltin	ND U	2.3	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
Tri-n-butyltin	30	2.3	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
Di-n-butyltin	13	2.3	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
n-Butyltin	2.7	2.3	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Tri-n-propyltin	87	10-127	11/04/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

00042

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA

Butyltins (as cation)

Sample Name:	Method Blank	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	KWG0518939-4	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	METHOD	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	Krone		

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Tetra-n-butyltin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
Tri-n-butyltin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
Di-n-butyltin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	
n-Butyltin	ND U	1.0	1	11/02/05	11/04/05	KWG0518939	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Tri-n-propyltin	97	10-127	11/04/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

00043

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A**Surrogate Recovery Summary**
Butyltins (as cation)

Extraction Method: METHOD
Analysis Method: Krone

Units: PERCENT
Level: Low

<u>Sample Name</u>	<u>Lab Code</u>	<u>Sur1</u>
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	87
Method Blank	KWG0518939-4	97
Batch QCMS	KWG0518939-1	82
Batch QCDMS	KWG0518939-2	83
Batch QC	L0501982-010	97
Lab Control Sample	KWG0518939-3	83

Surrogate Recovery Control Limits (%)

Sur1 = Tri-n-propyltin 10-127

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.
Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Soil

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/04/2005

Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary
Butyltins (as cation)

Sample Name: Batch QC **Units:** ug/Kg
Lab Code: L0501982-010 **Basis:** Dry
Extraction Method: METHOD **Level:** Low
Analysis Method: Krone **Extraction Lot:** KWG0518939

Analyte Name	Sample Result	Batch QCMS KWG0518939-1			Batch QCDMS KWG0518939-2			%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
		Matrix Spike	Duplicate Matrix Spike	Result	Expected	%Rec	Result	Expected	%Rec	
Tetra-n-butyltin	ND	28.7	29.3	98	26.4	29.2	91	10-132	8	50
Tri-n-butyltin	ND	20.0	26.0	77	21.3	25.9	82	10-140	6	50
Di-n-butyltin	ND	19.5	22.5	87	20.6	22.4	92	10-141	5	50
n-Butyltin	ND	5.16	18.3	28	4.15	18.2	23	10-64	22	50

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00045

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/04/2005

Lab Control Spike Summary
Butyltins (as cation)

Extraction Method: METHOD
Analysis Method: Krone

Units: ug/Kg
Basis: Dry
Level: Low
Extraction Lot: KWG0518939

Lab Control Sample
KWG0518939-3
Lab Control Spike

Analyte Name	Lab Control Spike			%Rec Limits
	Result	Expected	%Rec	
Tetra-n-butyltin	23.2	25.0	93	10-127
Tri-n-butyltin	20.2	22.2	91	13-125
Di-n-butyltin	21.5	19.2	112	14-145
n-Butyltin	12.7	15.6	82	10-96

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00046

Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds
EPA Method 8270C

00047

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: 10/17/2005
Date Received: 10/28/2005

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Sample Name:	LRT-S01 Comp	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505291-001	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3541	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8270C SIM		

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Naphthalene	34	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
2-Methylnaphthalene	18	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Acenaphthylene	46	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Acenaphthene	26	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Fluorene	30	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Dibenzofuran	16	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Phenanthrene	140	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Anthracene	160	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Fluoranthene	430	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Pyrene	730	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benz(a)anthracene	350	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Chrysene	740	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	510	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	390	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(a)pyrene	530	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	220	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	74	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	220	5.7	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Fluorene-d10	37	10-122	11/05/05	Acceptable
Fluoranthene-d10	52	10-129	11/05/05	Acceptable
Terphenyl-d14	55	32-134	11/05/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

Analytical Results

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Sample Name: Method Blank **Units:** ug/Kg
Lab Code: KWG0518934-5 **Basis:** Dry
Extraction Method: EPA 3541 **Level:** Low
Analysis Method: 8270C SIM

Analyte Name	Result Q	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Extraction Lot	Note
Naphthalene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Acenaphthylene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Acenaphthene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Fluorene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Dibenzofuran	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Phenanthrene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Anthracene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Fluoranthene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Pyrene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benz(a)anthracene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Chrysene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND U	2.5	1	11/02/05	11/05/05	KWG0518934	

Surrogate Name	%Rec	Control Limits	Date Analyzed	Note
Fluorene-d10	27	10-122	11/05/05	Acceptable
Fluoranthene-d10	70	10-129	11/05/05	Acceptable
Terphenyl-d14	74	32-134	11/05/05	Acceptable

Comments: _____

00049

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A

Surrogate Recovery Summary
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Extraction Method: EPA 3541
Analysis Method: 8270C SIM

Units: PERCENT
Level: Low

<u>Sample Name</u>	<u>Lab Code</u>	<u>Sur1</u>	<u>Sur2</u>	<u>Sur3</u>
LRT-S01 Comp	K0505291-001	37	52	55
Method Blank	KWG0518934-5	27	70	74
Batch QCMS	KWG0518934-1	40	48	51
Batch QCDMS	KWG0518934-2	32	38	46
Lab Control Sample	KWG0518934-3	63	79	82
Duplicate Lab Control Sample	KWG0518934-4	43	74	65

Surrogate Recovery Control Limits (%)

Sur1 = Fluorene-d10	10-122
Sur2 = Fluoranthene-d10	10-129
Sur3 = Terphenyl-d14	32-134

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

00050

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/05/2005

Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Sample Name:	Batch QC	Units:	ug/Kg
Lab Code:	K0505291-002	Basis:	Dry
Extraction Method:	EPA 3541	Level:	Low
Analysis Method:	8270C SIM	Extraction Lot:	KWG0518934

Analyte Name	Sample Result	Batch QCMS KWG0518934-1			Batch QCDMS KWG0518934-2			%Rec Limits	RPD	RPD Limit
		Matrix Spike	Duplicate Matrix Spike	Result	Expected	%Rec	Result	Expected	%Rec	
Naphthalene	ND	267	558	48	247	558	44	22-101	8	40
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	232	558	42	199	558	36	27-106	15	40
Acenaphthylene	ND	312	558	56	250	558	45	36-113	22	40
Acenaphthene	ND	282	558	50	219	558	39	32-114	25	40
Fluorene	ND	288	558	52	218	558	39	39-118	28	40
Dibenzofuran	ND	287	558	51	222	558	40	33-110	26	40
Phenanthrene	6.0	311	558	55	259	558	45	29-130	18	40
Anthracene	ND	327	558	59	265	558	48	38-133	21	40
Fluoranthene	14	370	558	64	292	558	50	30-143	24	40
Pyrene	16	448	558	77	364	558	62	28-143	21	40
Benz(a)anthracene	8.1	325	558	57	236	558	41	24-149	32	40
Chrysene	12	426	558	74	315	558	55	38-133	30	40
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	12	328	558	57	252	558	43	26-144	26	40
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	9.3	332	558	58	237	558	41	29-136	34	40
Benzo(a)pyrene	11	358	558	62	264	558	45	30-146	30	40
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	10	290	558	50	215	558	37	24-147	30	40
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	267	558	48	204	558	37	33-136	26	40
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	12	325	558	56	235	558	40	23-142	32	40

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Results flagged with a pound (#) indicate the control criteria is not applicable.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

00051

COLUMBIA ANALYTICAL SERVICES, INC.

QA/QC Report

Client: Pacific Eco-Risk Laboratories
Project: LRTC
Sample Matrix: Sediment

Service Request: K0505291A
Date Extracted: 11/02/2005
Date Analyzed: 11/05/2005

Lab Control Spike/Duplicate Lab Control Spike Summary
Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons

Extraction Method: EPA 3541
Analysis Method: 8270C SIM

Units: ug/Kg
Basis: Dry
Level: Low
Extraction Lot: KWG0518934

Analyte Name	Lab Control Sample KWG0518934-3			Duplicate Lab Control Sample KWG0518934-4			%Rec Limits	RPD Limit		
	Lab Control Spike			Duplicate Lab Control Spike						
	Result	Expected	%Rec	Result	Expected	%Rec				
Naphthalene	417	500	83	374	500	75	43-102	11	40	
2-Methylnaphthalene	376	500	75	300	500	60	44-105	22	40	
Acenaphthylene	443	500	89	409	500	82	51-107	8	40	
Acenaphthene	427	500	85	392	500	78	50-105	8	40	
Fluorene	433	500	87	395	500	79	54-108	9	40	
Dibenzofuran	437	500	87	397	500	79	50-106	10	40	
Phenanthrene	432	500	86	408	500	82	58-106	6	40	
Anthracene	432	500	86	414	500	83	61-113	4	40	
Fluoranthene	455	500	91	436	500	87	63-117	4	40	
Pyrene	477	500	95	461	500	92	59-121	3	40	
Benz(a)anthracene	409	500	82	390	500	78	57-120	5	40	
Chrysene	430	500	86	411	500	82	64-116	4	40	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	428	500	86	395	500	79	58-126	8	40	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	409	500	82	376	500	75	61-122	8	40	
Benzo(a)pyrene	430	500	86	402	500	80	58-128	7	40	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	400	500	80	389	500	78	46-133	3	40	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	426	500	85	413	500	83	50-128	3	40	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	432	500	86	408	500	82	52-125	6	40	

Results flagged with an asterisk (*) indicate values outside control criteria.

Percent recoveries and relative percent differences (RPD) are determined by the software using values in the calculation which have not been rounded.

Appendix C

Ammonia and Sulfide Analyses Performed in Support of Bioassay Testing

Table C-1. Sediment porewater water ammonia levels for *Ampelisca* bioassays tests at test initiation

Sample ID	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)
"Home" Lab Control	7.36	27.5	13.3
San Pablo (SF-10)	7.63	30.1	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	7.59	30.8	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	7.70	30.8	11.8

Table C-2. Sediment porewater water ammonia levels for *Ampelisca* bioassays tests at test termination

Sample ID	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)
"Home" Lab Control	NM	NM	NM
San Pablo (SF-10)	7.51	31.6	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	7.48	30.9	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	7.51	32.3	<1.0

NM = not measured

Table C-3. Sediment overlying water total ammonia levels for *Ampelisca* bioassays tests.

Sample ID	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)	
	Test Initiation	Test Termination
"Home" Lab Control	1.0	<1.0
San Pablo (SF-10)	<1.0	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	<1.0	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	<1.0	<1.0

Table C-4. Sediment porewater water ammonia levels for *Neanthes* bioassays tests at test initiation

Sample ID	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)
Lab Control	7.65	29.1	2.23
San Pablo (SF-10)	7.70	29.9	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	7.62	29.8	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	7.42	29.4	15.6

Table C-5. Sediment porewater water ammonia levels for *Neanthes* bioassays tests at test termination

Sample ID	pH	Salinity (ppt)	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)
Lab Control	7.48	30.8	<1.0
San Pablo (SF-10)	7.68	30.7	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	7.80	30.4	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	7.54	30.9	<1.0

Table C-6. Sediment overlying water total ammonia levels for *Neanthes* bioassays tests.

Sample ID	Total Ammonia (mg/L N)	
	Test Initiation	Test Termination
“Home” Lab Control	<1.0	<1.0
San Pablo (SF-10)	<1.0	<1.0
Alcatraz (SF-11)	<1.0	<1.0
LRT-SO1 COMP	<1.0	<1.0

Appendix D

**Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity
Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediments with the
Amphipod, *Ampelisca abdita***

CETIS Test Summary

Acute Amphipod Survival Test							Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	03-1403-2541	Test Type: Survival			Duration:	9d 15h		
Start Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Protocol: ASTM E1367-99 (1999)			Species:	Ampelisca abdita		
Ending Date:	09 Nov-05 08:30 AM	Dil Water: Not Applicable			Source:	Brezina and Associates		
Setup Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Brine: Not Applicable						
Sample No:	01-6150-5345	Material: Marine Sediment			Client:	LRTC		
Sample Date:	17 Oct-05	Code: 10649			Project:			
Receive Date:	17 Oct-05 04:21 PM	Source: LRTC						
Sample Age:	13d 17h (7.9 °C)	Station: LRT-SO1 COMP						
Comparison Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method		
12-2901-6901	Proportion Survived	100	> 100	N/A	7.22%	Equal Variance t Two-Sample		
Proportion Survived Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Alcatraz	5	0.77000	0.70000	0.85000	0.02550	0.05701	7.40%
0	Control Sed	5	0.94000	0.90000	1.00000	0.01871	0.04183	4.45%
0	Silica Sand Co	5	0.67000	0.60000	0.75000	0.03000	0.06708	10.01%
0	San Pablo	5	0.78000	0.65000	1.00000	0.06633	0.14832	19.02%
100		5	0.89000	0.75000	0.95000	0.03674	0.08216	9.23%
Proportion Survived Detail								
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5		
0	Alcatraz	0.70000	0.75000	0.80000	0.75000	0.85000		
0	Control Sed	1.00000	0.95000	0.95000	0.90000	0.90000		
0	Silica Sand Co	0.75000	0.60000	0.70000	0.70000	0.60000		
0	San Pablo	0.75000	1.00000	0.65000	0.85000	0.65000		
100		0.95000	0.90000	0.95000	0.75000	0.90000		

CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1
 Report Date: 04 Jan-06 2:05 PM
 Analysis: 12-2901-6901/15580

Acute Amphipod Survival Test							Pacific EcoRisk					
Test No:	03-1403-2541	Test Type: Survival			Duration: 9d 15h							
Start Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Protocol: ASTM E1367-99 (1999)			Species: Ampelisca abdita							
Ending Date:	09 Nov-05 08:30 AM	Dil Water: Not Applicable			Source: Brezina and Associates							
Setup Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Brine: Not Applicable										
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Proportion Survived	Comparison		01-7078-0746	01-7078-0746	13 Dec-05 12:09 PM	CETISv1.1.1						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Equal Variance t Two-Sample	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		100	>100	1	N/A	7.22%				
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.96500		23.15450	0.52904	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.92889			0.43711	Normal Distribution						
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)						
Between	0.0169383	0.0169383	1	1.52	0.25323	Non-Significant Effect						
Error	0.0894001	0.0111750	8									
Total	0.10633834	0.0281133	9									
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Control Sed		100	1.23115	1.85955	0.1266	0.12433	Non-Significant Effect					
Data Summary												
Conc-%		Control Type		Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD
0		Control Sed		5	0.94000	0.90000	1.00000	0.04183	1.32948	1.24905	1.45876	0.08682
100				5	0.89000	0.75000	0.95000	0.08216	1.24717	1.04720	1.34528	0.12170
Data Detail												
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10	
0	Control Sed	1.00000	0.95000	0.95000	0.90000	0.90000						
100		0.95000	0.90000	0.95000	0.75000	0.90000						
Graphics												

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LTC

Test ID#: 1558015583

Date (Day 0): 10/30/05

Species: *Ampelisca abdita*

Organism Log#: 2497

Organism Supplier: Brezina

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: 501					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	19.7	7.93	7.0	31.3	20	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1715 WQ: NC Scientist: JS
	Rep B	19.8	7.93	7.22	30.9	20	
	Rep C	19.7	7.95	7.11	29.7	20	
	Rep D	19.9	7.89	7.15	30.9	20	
	Rep E	19.8	7.95	7.12	30.5	20	
Day 1	Rep A	20.4	7.90	7.9	30.9	20	Date: 10-31-05 Time: 1430 WQ: NC
Day 2	Rep B	20.1	7.82	6.7	30.9	20	Date: 11/1/05 Time: 1055 WQ: NC
Day 3	Rep C	20.2	8.04	7.0	30.4	20	Date: 11-2-05 Time: 1530 WQ: NC
Day 4	Rep D	20.4	7.99	6.8	31.3	20	Date: 11-3-05 Time: 11:50 WQ: NC
Day 5	Rep E	20.2	8.07	6.7	31.9	20	Date: 11/4/05 Time: 1430 WQ: NC
Day 6	Rep A	20.6	7.99	6.3	30.1	20	Date: 11/5/05 Time: 0955 WQ: NC
Day 7	Rep B	20.5	8.38	6.9	30.4	20	Date: 11-6-05 Time: 1000 WQ: NC
Day 8	Rep C	20.1	8.43	6.9	30.4	20	Date: 11-7-05 Time: 12:30 WQ: NC
Day 9	Rep D	20.5	8.58	6.8	31.3	20	Date: 11-8-05 Time: 15:00 WQ: NC
Day 10	Rep A	20.0	8.55	7.4	31.6	19	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Rep B	20.1	8.57	7.5	31.6	18	
	Rep C	20.1	8.84	7.8	31.0	19	
	Rep D	20.1	8.83	8.5	31.7	15	
	Rep E	20.1	8.81	8.1	31.8	18	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.70	5.6	30.8	0.030	11.8	Date: 11-4-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-4-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC 11-2-05
Day 10	Porewater	7.51	6.6	32.3	0.014	<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15580/15583

Date (Day 0): 10/30/05

Species: Ampelisca abdita

Organism Log#: 2497

Organism Supplier: Brezina

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: Control #2					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	19.9	7.8	6.93	29.8	1020	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1715 WQ: JS Scientist: DW MM
	Rep B	19.8	7.78	7.04	29.8	20	
	Rep C	19.8	7.80	7.06	29.8	20	
	Rep D	19.8	7.81	7.02	29.8	20	
	Rep E	19.8	7.83	7.01	29.9	20	
Day 1	Rep A	20.2	7.75	7.1	30.5		Date: 10/31/05 Time: 1430 WQ: NC
Day 2	Rep B	20.1	7.64	5.9	30.3		Date: 11/1/05 Time: 1055 WQ: RP
Day 3	Rep C	20.4	7.86	6.8	30.6		Date: 11/2/05 Time: 1530 WQ: NC
Day 4	Rep D	20.4	7.59	6.3	30.7		Date: 11/3/05 Time: 1150 WQ: DW
Day 5	Rep E	20.2	8.04	7.4	30.7		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 1430 WQ: RP
Day 6	Rep A	20.6	7.94	7.0	30.7		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 0955 WQ: RP
Day 7	Rep B	20.5	8.10	7.1	30.7		Date: 11/6/05 Time: 1000 WQ: CS
Day 8	Rep C	20.1	7.96	6.8	30.4		Date: 11/7/05 Time: 1230 WQ: NC
Day 9	Rep D	20.5	8.07	7.2	31.4		Date: 11/8/05 Time: 1500 WQ: NC
Day 10	Rep A	20.1	8.28	7.4	30.7	20	Date: 11/9/05 Time: 0830 WQ:
	Rep B	20.1	8.20	7.5	31.8	19	
	Rep C	20.1	8.20	7.6	31.3	19	
	Rep D	20.1	8.20	7.8	31.5	18	
	Rep E	20.1	8.18	7.7	31.5	18	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.36	3.7	27.5	0.034	13.3	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1700 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					1.0	Date: 11/1/05 Time: 0530 WQ: NC
Day 10	Porewater	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	Date: 11/10/05 Time: 0830 WQ: JS
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11/9/05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15580/15583

Date (Day 0): 10/30/05

Species: *Ampelisca abdita*

Organism Log#: 2497

Organism Supplier: Baja Mar

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: <u>San Pablo</u>					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	19.8	7.9	7.32	30.3	20	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1715 WQ: NC Scientist: DW DB MM
	Rep B	19.7	7.91	7.23	29.9	20	
	Rep C	19.7	7.91	7.20	30.6	20	
	Rep D	19.7	7.92	7.18	29.9	20	
	Rep E	19.7	7.91	7.11	30.3	20	
Day 1	Rep A	20.1	7.85	7.9	31.3		Date: 10-31-05 Time: 1430 WQ: NC
Day 2	Rep B	20.1	7.86	7.2	30.9		Date: 11-1-05 Time: 1055 WQ: RP NC
Day 3	Rep C	20.4	7.96	7.0	31.2		Date: 11-2-05 Time: 1530 WQ: NC
Day 4	Rep D	20.4	8.04	7.34	31.8		Date: 11-3-05 Time: 11:57 WQ: DA
Day 5	Rep E	20.2	8.00	7.6	31.6		Date: 11-4-05 Time: 14:38 WQ: RP NC
Day 6	Rep A	20.6	7.86	7.2	30.8		Date: 11-5-05 Time: 09:53 WQ: RP NC
Day 7	Rep B	20.5	8.02	7.2	30.2		Date: 11-6-05 Time: 1000 WQ: LS
Day 8	Rep C	20.1	8.12	6.9	30.9		Date: 11-7-05 Time: 12:30 WQ: NC
Day 9	Rep D	20.5	8.17	6.8	31.3		Date: 11-8-05 Time: 15:00 WQ: NC
Day 10	Rep A	20.1	8.12	7.5	31.3	15	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Rep B	20.1	8.11	7.7	31.5	20	
	Rep C	20.1	8.12	8.0	31.7	13	
	Rep D	20.1	8.14	7.8	31.6	17	
	Rep E	20.1	8.17	7.8	31.8	13	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.63	6.2	30.1	0.256	<1.0	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1700 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC AG 1-2-05
Day 10	Porewater	7.51	6.7	31.6	0.158	<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15580/15583

Date (Day 0): 10/30/05

Species: Ampelisca abdita

Organism Log#: 2497

Organism Supplier: Brezina

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: <u>Alcatraz</u>					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	19.7	7.91	7.19	29.4	20	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 1715 WQ: NC Scientist: DE mm DB
	Rep B	19.7	7.91	7.21	30.5	20	
	Rep C	19.6	7.92	7.28	30.6	20	
	Rep D	19.6	7.92	7.26	30.7	20	
	Rep E	19.6	7.93	7.18	30.6	20	
Day 1	Rep A	20.0	7.87	7.9	30.9		Date: 10/31/05 Time: 1430 WQ: NC
Day 2	Rep B	20.1	7.74	7.3	31.0		Date: 11/1/05 Time: 10:55 WQ: RE
Day 3	Rep C	20.4	7.98	7.0	31.5		Date: 11-2-05 Time: 1530 WQ: NC
Day 4	Rep D	20.4	7.98	7.18	30.7		Date: 11-3-05 Time: 12:30 WQ: DH
Day 5	Rep E	20.2	8.02	7.1	30.8		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 14:30 WQ: RE
Day 6	Rep A	20.6	7.91	7.3	31.3		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 9:55 WQ: RE
Day 7	Rep B	20.5	8.02	6.9	30.1		Date: 11-6-05 Time: 1000 WQ: CS
Day 8	Rep C	20.1	8.06	6.8	30.7		Date: 11-7-05 Time: 12:30 WQ: YN
Day 9	Rep D	20.5	8.09	7.0	31.0		Date: 11-8-05 Time: 15:00 WQ: YN
Day 10	Rep A	20.0	8.18	7.4	31.5	14	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Rep B	20.0	8.11	7.5	31.8	15	
	Rep C	20.0	8.15	7.5	31.6	16	
	Rep D	20.0	8.15	7.7	31.4	15	
	Rep E	20.1	8.15	7.7	31.7	17	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.59	6.9	30.8	0.134	<1.0	Date: 10/30/05 Time: 17:00 WQ: DE
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-4-05 Time: 0830 WQ: RE
Day 10	Porewater	7.48	6.4	30.9	0.07	<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ: NC
	Overlying Water					<1.0	Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0930 WQ: NC

Appendix E

Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference Toxicant Evaluation of the Amphipod, *Ampelisca abdita*

CETIS Test Summary

Acute Amphipod Survival Test				Pacific EcoRisk		
Test No:	15-6472-3769	Test Type:	Survival	Duration: 95h		
Start Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Protocol:	ASTM E1367-99 (Amphipod)	Species: Ampelisca abdita		
Ending Date:	03 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater	Source: Brezina and Associates		
Setup Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable			
Sample No:	05-5733-1450	Material:	Cadmium chloride	Client:		
Sample Date:	30 Oct-05	Code:	10651	Project:		
Receive Date:	30 Oct-05	Source:	Reference Toxicant			
Sample Age:	17h	Station:	In House			
Comparison Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method
08-7542-7707	Proportion Survived	0.5	1	0.7071	N/A	Fisher Exact
Point Estimate Summary						
Analysis	Endpoint	% Effect	Conc-mg/L	95% LCL	95% UCL	Method
12-4775-9765	Proportion Survived	15	0.5351631	0.1383659	0.7518791	Linear Regression
		20	0.5816808	0.1746725	0.7982585	
		25	0.6247982	0.2128937	0.8420225	
		40	0.7481581	0.3457628	0.976491	
		50	0.8338125	0.4552051	1.085544	
Proportion Survived Summary						
Conc-mg/L	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE
0	Lab Water	2	0.85000	0.80000	0.90000	0.05000
0.125		2	0.70000	0.70000	0.70000	0.00000
0.25		2	0.70000	0.70000	0.70000	0.00000
0.5		2	0.60000	0.60000	0.60000	0.00000
1		2	0.30000	0.30000	0.30000	0.00000
2		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
4		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
Proportion Survived Detail						
Conc-mg/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2			
0	Lab Water	0.80000	0.90000			
0.125		0.70000	0.70000			
0.25		0.70000	0.70000			
0.5		0.60000	0.60000			
1		0.30000	0.30000			
2		0.00000	0.00000			
4		0.00000	0.00000			

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:10 PM
 Analysis: 08-7542-7707/15586

CETIS Analysis Detail

Acute Amphipod Survival Test					Pacific EcoRisk							
Test No:	15-6472-3769	Test Type:	Survival		Duration:	95h						
Start Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Protocol:	ASTM E1367-99 (Amphipod)		Species:	Ampelisca abdita						
Ending Date:	03 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater		Source:	Brezina and Associates						
Setup Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable									
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Proportion Survived	Comparison		10-6194-8004	10-6194-8004	09 Nov-05 3:09 PM	CETISv1.1.1						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Fisher Exact	C > T	Untransformed		0.5	1	200	0.7071					
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-mg/L	Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)							
Lab Water		0.125	0.22529	0.22529	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water		0.25	0.22529	0.22529	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water		0.5	0.07759	0.07759	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water		1	0.00053	0.00053	Significant Effect							
Lab Water		2	0.00000	0.00000	Significant Effect							
Lab Water		4	0.00000	0.00000	Significant Effect							
Data Summary												
Conc-mg/L	Control Type	Non-Responders	Responders	Total Observed								
0	Lab Water	17	3	20								
0.125		14	6	20								
0.25		14	6	20								
0.5		12	8	20								
1		6	14	20								
2		0	20	20								
4		0	20	20								
Data Detail												
Conc-mg/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10	
0	Lab Water	0.80000	0.90000									
0.125		0.70000	0.70000									
0.25		0.70000	0.70000									
0.5		0.60000	0.60000									
1		0.30000	0.30000									
2		0.00000	0.00000									
4		0.00000	0.00000									
Graphics												
Proportion Survived		0.00	0.10	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00
		0	0.125	0.25	0.5	1	2	4				
Conc-mg/L												

Linear Regression: Page 1 of 2
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:10 PM
 Analysis: 12-4775-9765/15586





CETIS Analysis Detail

Acute Amphipod Survival Test								Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	15-6472-3769	Test Type:	Survival				Duration:	95h	
Start Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Protocol:	ASTM E1367-99 (Amphipod)				Species:	Ampelisca abdita	
Ending Date:	03 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater				Source:	Brezina and Associates	
Setup Date:	30 Oct-05 05:15 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable						
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version			
Proportion Survived	Linear Regression		10-6194-8004	10-6194-8004	09 Nov-05 3:09 PM	CETISv1.1.1			
Linear Regression Options									
Model	Threshold Option	Lower Threshold	Threshold Optimized	Reweighted	Pooled Groups	Het Corr			
Log-Normal	Control Threshold	0.15	Yes	Yes	No	No			
Regression Parameters									
Parameter	Estimate	Std Error	95% LCL	95% UCL	t Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)		
Threshold	0.258613	0.05639305	0.1480827	0.3691434	4.586	0.01014	Significant		
Slope	5.38177	1.802217	1.849425	8.914116	2.986	0.04049	Significant		
Intercept	5.424792	0.3151357	4.807126	6.042458	17.214	0.00007	Significant		
Regression Summary									
Iters	Log Likelihood	μ	σ	γ	χ^2	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.05)	
16	-33.93898	1.00799	0.18581	0.43080	1.20396	18.30704	0.99960	Non-Significant Heterogeneity	
Residual Analysis									
Attribute	Method		Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.05)			
Variances	Modified Levene		65535	4.95029	0.00000	Unequal Variances			
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W		0.5945246		0.00010	Non-normal Distribution			
Point Estimates									
% Effect	Conc-mg/L	95% LCL	95% UCL						
15	0.5351631	0.1383659	0.7518791						
20	0.5816808	0.1746725	0.7982585						
25	0.6247982	0.2128937	0.8420225						
40	0.7481581	0.3457628	0.976491						
50	0.8338125	0.4552051	1.085544						
Data Summary									
Calculated Variate(A/B)									
Conc-mg/L	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	A	B
0	Lab Water	2	0.85000	0.80000	0.90000	0.01443	0.07071	17	20
0.125		2	0.70000	0.70000	0.70000	0.00002	0.00008	14	20
0.25		2	0.70000	0.70000	0.70000	0.00002	0.00008	14	20
0.5		2	0.60000	0.60000	0.60000	0.00003	0.00017	12	20
1		2	0.30000	0.30000	0.30000	0.00002	0.00008	6	20
2		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0	20
4		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0	20

CETIS Analysis Detail

Linear Regression: Page 2 of 2
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:10 PM
 Analysis: 12-4775-9765/15586

Graphics



96 Hour Marine Amphipod Reference Toxicant Test Data

Client: PER Test Date: 10/30/05 Test ID#: 15586
 Species: Ampelisca Organism Log #: 2497 Test Material: CdCl₂

Treatment (mgCd/L)	Temp	pH	DO	Salinity	# Live Animals		Sign-off
					A	B	
Control	19.6	7.83	9.1	27.5	10	10	Time: 1715 Date: 10-30-5 Name: cmm MM
0.125	19.6	7.82	8.9	28.0	10	10	
0.25	19.6	7.82	8.9	27.9	10	10	
0.5	19.6	7.82	8.9	27.8	10	10	
1	19.6	7.82	9.0	27.7	10	10	
2	19.6	7.82	9.0	27.8	10	10	
4	19.6	7.82	8.9	27.6	10	10	
Control	19.8	7.84	6.2	28.3	10	10	Time: 1200 Date: 10/31/05
0.125	19.8	7.83	6.3	28.3	7	9	
0.25	19.8	7.82	6.4	28.4	10	10	
0.5	19.8	7.81	6.3	28.6	10	9	
1	19.8	7.81	6.3	28.4	10	10	
2	19.8	7.80	6.3	28.5	9	9	
4	19.8	7.80	6.4	28.4	8	9	
Control	19.7	7.47	7.1	28.0	10	10	Time: 1100 Date: 11/1/05
0.125	19.7	7.65	7.1	28.0	7	9	
0.25	19.7	7.78	7.0	28.0	10	10	
0.5	19.7	7.78	7.1	28.2	10	8	
1	19.7	7.79	7.1	28.0	7	8	
2	19.7	7.74	6.9	27.9	5	5	
4	19.7	7.76	6.9	28.0	1	0	
Control	19.8	7.97	7.2	27.6	8	9	Time: 1500 Date: 11/2/05 Name: QD YK
0.125	19.8	7.86	7.1	28.0	7	7	
0.25	19.8	7.87	6.8	28.0	9	9	
0.5	19.8	7.88	6.5	28.0	8	6	
1	19.8	7.87	6.9	28.0	6	5	
2	19.8	7.85	6.4	27.9	0	0	
4	19.8	7.87	6.9	27.9	0	0	
Control	20.1	7.82	7.1	28.9	8	9	Time: 1615 Date: 11/3/05 Name: RT MM
0.125	20.1	7.86	7.1	28.8	7	7	
0.25	20.1	7.87	7.1	28.3	7	7	
0.5	20.1	7.86	7.1	28.4	6	6	
1	20.1	7.86	7.1	28.4	3	3	
2	20.1	7.87	7.1	28.4	-	-	
4	20.1	7.89	7.2	28.2	-	-	

Appendix F

**Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity
Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediments with the
Polychaete, *Neanthes arenaceodentata***

CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 29 Nov-05 10:24 AM
 Test Link: 14-3715-5783/15581

Acute Polychaete Survival Test							Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	09-8221-3566	Test Type: Survival				Duration:	9d 17h	
Start Date:	02 Nov-05 02:55 PM	Protocol: ASTM E1192-97 (1997)				Species:	Neanthes arenaceodentata	
Ending Date:	12 Nov-05 08:30 AM	Dil Water: Not Applicable				Source:	Don Reisch	
Setup Date:	02 Nov-05 02:55 PM	Brine: Not Applicable						
Sample No:	01-6150-5345	Material: Marine Sediment				Client:	LRTC	
Sample Date:	17 Oct-05	Code: 10649				Project:		
Receive Date:	17 Oct-05 04:21 PM	Source: LRTC						
Sample Age:	16d 14h (7.9 °C)	Station: LRT-SO1 COMP						
Comparison Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL		LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method	
19-4456-2275	Proportion Survived	100		> 100	N/A	5.60%	Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	
Proportion Survived Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Alcatraz	5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
0	Control Sed	5	0.98000	0.90000	1.00000	0.02000	0.04472	4.56%
0	Quartz Control	5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
0	San Pablo	5	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
100		5	0.98000	0.90000	1.00000	0.02000	0.04472	4.56%
Proportion Survived Detail								
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5		
0	Alcatraz	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000		
0	Control Sed	1.00000	0.90000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000		
0	Quartz Control	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000		
0	San Pablo	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000		
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.90000		

CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons:

Page 1 of 1

Report Date:

29 Nov-05 10:24 AM

Analysis:

19-4456-2275/15581

Acute Polychaete Survival Test		Pacific EcoRisk									
Test No:	09-8221-3566	Test Type:	Survival								
Start Date:	02 Nov-05 02:55 PM	Protocol:	ASTM E1192-97 (1997)								
Ending Date:	12 Nov-05 08:30 AM	Dil Water:	Not Applicable								
Setup Date:	02 Nov-05 02:55 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable								
Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link								
Proportion Survived	Comparison	14-3715-5783	14-3715-5783								
Date Analyzed	29 Nov-05 10:22 AM	Version	CETISv1.1.1								
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta								
Wilcoxon Rank Sum Two-Sample	C > T	Rank	NOEL LOEL Toxic Units ChV PMSD 100 >100 1 N/A 5.60%								
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical								
Variances	Variance Ratio F	1.00000	23.15450								
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.50927	0.00000								
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF								
Between	0	0	1								
Error	0.0424949	0.0053119	8								
Total	0.04249493	0.0053119	9								
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic								
Control Sed		100	27.5								
			P-Value								
			0.5000								
			Ties								
			4								
			Decision(0.05)								
			Non-Significant Effect								
Data Summary											
Original Data											
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Control Sed	5	0.98000	0.90000	1.00000	0.04472	5.50000	1.50000	6.50000	2.23607	
100		5	0.98000	0.90000	1.00000	0.04472	5.50000	1.50000	6.50000	2.23607	
Transformed Data											
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Control Sed	1.00000	0.90000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000					
100		1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.90000					
Graphics											



10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15581

Date (Day 0): 11/2/05

Species: *Veanthes arenaceodentata*

Organism Log#: 2500

Organism Supplier: Don Reicher

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: LRT-S01					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	20.4	7.90	7.44	7.09	30.6	10
	Rep B	20.3	7.94	7.1	30.5	10	Date: 11/2/05 Time: 14:55 WQ: RP
	Rep C	20.4	7.90	6.9	30.5	10	Scientist: MM AB
	Rep D	20.2	7.86	7.0	30.5	10	
	Rep E	20.4	7.95	7.2	30.6	10	
Day 1	Rep A	19.7	8.02	4.87	7.4	30.9	Date: 11/3/05 Time: 10:50 WQ: RP
Day 2	Rep B	19.9	8.11	7.3	31.5		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 15:05 WQ: RP
Day 3	Rep C	20.1	7.98	7.5	30.9		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 9:40 WQ: RP
Day 4	Rep D	19.9	8.04	7.2	7.1	30.7	Date: 11/6/05 Time: 10:10 WQ: LS
Day 5	Rep E	20.5	8.01	7.0	31.2		Date: 11-7-05 Time: WQ: YN 12:30
Day 6	Rep A	20.8	8.02	7.2	31.6		Date: 11-8-05 Time: WQ: YN 15:00
Day 7	Rep B	20.0	8.12	7.6	31.3		Date: 11-9-05 Time: 0830 WQ:
Day 8	Rep C	20.7	7.89	7.0	30.8		Date: 11-10-05 Time: WQ: YN 4:00
Day 9	Rep D	20.0	8.12	5.6	7.8	31.6	Date: 11/11/05 Time: 19:20 WQ: RP HKP
Day 10	Rep A	19.9	8.07	7.3	31.6	10	Date: 11/12/05
	Rep B	19.9	8.15	7.3	31.4	10	Time: 8:30
	Rep C	20.1	8.10	7.4	30.9	10	WQ: RP
	Rep D	19.9	8.16	7.3	31.0	10	Scientist: MM
	Rep E	19.8	8.20	7.2	31.5	9	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.42	6.2	29.4	0.043	/ 15.6	Date: 11/2/05 Time: WQ: RP 14:55
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11-2-05 Time: NM WQ: AG
Day 10	Porewater	7.54	6.3	30.9	0.008	/ <1.0	Date: 11/2/05 Time: WQ: RP 13:00
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11-10-05 Time: WQ: YN 12:00

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15581/15582^{NR}

Date (Day 0): 11/2/05

Species: *Veanthes arenaceodentata*

Organism Log#: 2500

Organism Supplier: Don Reiche

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: Control					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	20.4	7.93	7.2	30.2	10	Date: 11/2/05 Time: 14:55 WQ: RP Scientist: MM AB
	Rep B	20.2	7.95	7.2	30.2	10	
	Rep C	20.1	7.98	7.2	30.2	10	
	Rep D	20.2	7.97	7.2	30.2	10	
	Rep E	20.1	7.99	7.2	30.2 ^{RP}	10	
Day 1	Rep A	19.7	7.96	7.0	30.9		Date: 11/3/05 Time: 10:50 WQ: RP
Day 2	Rep B	19.9	8.01	7.3	31.4		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 15:05 WQ: RP
Day 3	Rep C	20.1	7.89	7.3	31.1		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 9:40 WQ: RP
Day 4	Rep D	19.9	7.90	7.69	30.4		Date: 11/6/05 Time: 8:40 WQ: LS
Day 5	Rep E	20.5	7.99	6.8	31.2 ^{LS}		Date: 11/7/05 Time: 12:30 WQ: MM
Day 6	Rep A	20.8	7.98	6.9	31.0		Date: 11/8/05 Time: 15:00 WQ: MM
Day 7	Rep B	20.0	8.12	7.9	30.2		Date: 11/9/05 Time: 08:30 WQ: MM
Day 8	Rep C	20.7	7.75	6.8	31.2		Date: 11/10/05 Time: 11:00 WQ: MM
Day 9	Rep D	20.0	8.14	7.8	31.1		Date: 11/11/05 Time: 14:20 WQ: RP
Day 10	Rep A	20.2	7.88	7.3	30.4	10	Date: 11/12/05 Time: 8:15-10:30 WQ: RP Scientist: MM
	Rep B	20.0	7.98	7.4	31.4	9	
	Rep C	20.0	8.03	7.4	31.1	10	
	Rep D	20.0	8.14	7.4	30.7	10	
	Rep E	19.8	8.05	7.5	30.5	10	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.65	5.1	29.1	0.011	12.23	Date: 11/2/05 Time: 14:55 WQ: RP
	Overlying Water					1 < 1.0	
Day 10	Porewater	7.46	6.3	30.8	0.034	1 < 1.0	Date: 11/12/05 Time: 13:00 WQ: RP
	Overlying Water					1 < 1.0	

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15581/15582^{4 MB}

Date (Day 0): 11/2/05

Species: *Neanthes arenaceodentata*

Organism Log#: 2500

Organism Supplier: Don Reider

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: Alcatraz					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	20.3	7.98	7.3	30.8	10	Date: 11/2/05
	Rep B	20.2	8.01	7.3	30.6	10	Time: 14:55
	Rep C	20.3	7.98	7.2	30.5	10	WQ: RP
	Rep D	20.4	8.01	7.3	30.6	10	Scientist: MM AB
	Rep E	20.4	8.01	7.2	30.6	10	
Day 1	Rep A	19.7	8.05	7.5	31.0		Date: 11/3/05 Time: 10:50
Day 2	Rep B	19.9	8.05	7.3	31.4		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 10:05
Day 3	Rep C	20.1	7.97	7.4	31.2		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 09:40
Day 4	Rep D	19.9	8.08	7.2	30.7		Date: 11/6/05 Time: 10:10
Day 5	Rep E	20.5	8.01	7.0	31.1		Date: 11-7-05 Time:
Day 6	Rep A	20.8	8.04	6.8	31.0		WQ: CS
Day 7	Rep B	20.1	8.12	7.5	30.5		Date: 11-8-05 Time:
Day 8	Rep C	20.7	7.92	7.0	30.4		WQ: VM
Day 9	Rep D	20.0	8.09	7.6	31.8		Date: 11/11/05 Time: 11:00
Day 10	Rep A	20.1	8.01	7.4	31.1	10	Date: 11/12/05
	Rep B	20.1	8.06	7.4	31.1	10	Time: 08:30
	Rep C	20.1	8.06	7.4	30.5	10	WQ: RP
	Rep D	20.1	8.05	7.4	31.1	10	Scientist: MM
	Rep E	20.1	8.04	7.5	31.0	10	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.62	6.7	29.8	0.018	<1.0	Date: 11/2/05 Time: WQ: RP 14:55
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11-2-05 Time: WQ: AG
Day 10	Porewater	7.80	7.0	30.4	0.281	/ <1.0	Date: 11/12/05 Time: WQ: RP 13:00
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11-12-05 Time: WQ: VM 12:00

10-Day Estuarine/Marine Sediment Toxicity Test Data

Client: LRTC

Test ID#: 15581/15582^{4#8}

Date (Day 0): 11/2/05

Species: *Veanthes arenaceodentata*

Organism Log#: 2500

Organism Supplier: Don Reicher

Day of Test	Test Replicate	Sample ID: San Pablo					Sign-Off
		Temp (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	# Alive	
Day 0	Rep A	20.1	7.98	7.3	30.3	10	Date: 11/2/05 Time: 14:55 WQ: RP Scientist: MM AB
	Rep B	20.2	8.02	7.3	30.3	10	
	Rep C	20.4	7.98	7.2	29.5	10	
	Rep D	20.2	8.03	7.3	30.5	10	
	Rep E	20.4	8.01	7.3	30.4	10	
Day 1	Rep A	19.7	8.03	7.4	30.3		Date: 11/3/05 Time: 10:50 WQ: RP
Day 2	Rep B	19.9	8.06	7.4	31.2		Date: 11/4/05 Time: 15:05 WQ: RP
Day 3	Rep C	20.1	7.98	7.4	30.2		Date: 11/5/05 Time: 09:40 WQ: RP
Day 4	Rep D	19.9	8.07	7.1	30.7		Date: 11/6/05 Time: 10:10 WQ: CS
Day 5	Rep E	20.1	8.02	7.1	30.6		Date: 11/7/05 Time: 12:30 WQ: VM
Day 6	Rep A	20.8	8.06	6.9	30.5		Date: 11/8/05 Time: 15:00 WQ: VM
Day 7	Rep B	20.0	8.13	7.7	30.4		Date: 11/9/05 Time: 08:30 WQ: VM
Day 8	Rep C	20.7	7.89	7.0	30.5		Date: 11/10/05 Time: 11:00 WQ: VM
Day 9	Rep D	20.0	8.09	7.8	36.3		Date: 11/11/05 Time: 14:20 WQ: RP
Day 10	Rep A	19.9	7.95	7.3	30.7	10	Date: 11/12/05 Time: 08:30 WQ: RP Scientist: MM
	Rep B	19.9	8.00	7.4	30.7	10	
	Rep C	20.1	8.03	7.4	30.2	10	
	Rep D	19.9	8.06	7.5	31.6	10	
	Rep E	20.1 ^{19.8}	8.07	7.5	31.3	10	

Day of Test	Matrix	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Total Sulfide (mg/L)	Total Ammonia (mg/L)	Sign-Off
Day 0	Porewater	7.70	6.4	29.9	0.129	/ <1.0	Date: 11/2/05 Time: 14:55 WQ: RP
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11/2/05 Time: MM WQ: AG
Day 10	Porewater	7.68	7.2	30.7	0.351	/ <1.0	Date: 11/12/05 Time: 13:00 WQ: RP
	Overlying Water					/ <1.0	Date: 11/12/05 Time: 18:00 WQ: VM

Appendix G

**Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference
Toxicant Evaluation of the Polychaete, *Neanthes*
*arenaceodentata***

CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:13 PM
 Test Link: 17-7065-2481/15587

Acute Polychaete Survival Test							Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	12-8457-6412	Test Type: Survival (96h)			Duration:	94h		
Start Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Protocol: ASTM (1994)			Species:	Neanthes arenaceodentata		
Ending Date:	06 Nov-05 02:20 PM	Dil Water: Seawater			Source:	Don Reisch		
Setup Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Brine:						
Sample No:	09-4425-6676	Material: Cadmium chloride			Client:			
Sample Date:	02 Nov-05	Code: 10659			Project:			
Receive Date:	02 Nov-05	Source: Reference Toxicant						
Sample Age:	16h	Station:						
Comparison Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method		
16-5988-7463	96h Proportion Survived	4	8	5.6569	N/A	Fisher Exact		
Point Estimate Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	% Effect	Conc- μ g/L	95% LCL	95% UCL	Method		
17-1587-4089	96h Proportion Survived	50	5.656854	4.5434	7.043184	Trimmed Spearman-Karber		
96h Proportion Survived Summary								
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Lab Water	2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
1		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
2		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
4		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
8		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
16		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
96h Proportion Survived Detail								
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2					
0	Lab Water	1.00000	1.00000					
1		1.00000	1.00000					
2		1.00000	1.00000					
4		1.00000	1.00000					
8		0.00000	0.00000					
16		0.00000	0.00000					

Comparisons: Page 1 of 1
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:13 PM
 Analysis: 16-5988-7463/15587

CETIS Analysis Detail

Acute Polychaete Survival Test					Pacific EcoRisk							
Test No:	12-8457-6412	Test Type: Survival (96h)			Duration: 94h							
Start Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Protocol: ASTM (1994)			Species: Neanthes arenaceodentata							
Ending Date:	06 Nov-05 02:20 PM	Dil Water: Seawater			Source: Don Reisch							
Setup Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Brine:										
Endpoint	Analysis Type			Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
96h Proportion Survived	Comparison			17-7065-2481	17-7065-2481	09 Nov-05 3:12 PM	CETISv1.1.1					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD				
Fisher Exact	C > T	Untransformed		4	8	25		5.6569				
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc- μ g/L	Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)							
Lab Water	1		1.00000	1.00000	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water	2		1.00000	1.00000	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water	4		1.00000	1.00000	Non-Significant Effect							
Lab Water	8		0.00001	0.00001	Significant Effect							
Lab Water	16		0.00001	0.00001	Significant Effect							
Data Summary												
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Non-Responders	Responders	Total Observed								
0	Lab Water	9	0	9								
1		10	0	10								
2		9	0	9								
4		10	0	10								
8		0	10	10								
16		0	10	10								
Data Detail												
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10	
0	Lab Water	1.00000	1.00000									
1		1.00000	1.00000									
2		1.00000	1.00000									
4		1.00000	1.00000									
8		0.00000	0.00000									
16		0.00000	0.00000									
Graphics												
96h Proportion Survived		1.00	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.60	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.00
		0	1	2	4	8	16					
		Conc- μ g/L										

CETIS Analysis Detail

Spearman-Karber: Page 1 of 1
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 3:13 PM
 Analysis: 17-1587-4089/15587

Acute Polychaete Survival Test					Pacific EcoRisk			
Test No:	12-8457-6412	Test Type: Survival (96h)			Duration: 94h			
Start Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Protocol: ASTM (1994)			Species: Neanthes arenaceodentata			
Ending Date:	06 Nov-05 02:20 PM	Dil Water: Seawater			Source: Don Reisch			
Setup Date:	02 Nov-05 04:15 PM	Brine:						
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
96h Proportion Survived	Trimmed Spearman-Karber		17-7065-2481	17-7065-2481	09 Nov-05 3:12 PM	CETISv1.1.1		
Spearman-Karber Options					Point Estimates			
Threshold Option	Lower Threshold	Trim	μ	σ	EC50/LC50	95% LCL	95% UCL	
Control Threshold	0	0.00%	0.752575	0	5.65685	4.54340	7.04318	
Data Summary					Calculated Variate(A/B)			
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	A
0	Lab Water	2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	9
1		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	10
2		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	9
4		2	1.00000	1.00000	1.00000	0.00000	0.00000	10
8		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0
16		2	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0
Graphics								

96 Hour Marine Reference Toxicant Test Data

Client:

PER

Species:

Neanthes arenaceodentata

Test Date: 11/2/05

Test ID#: 15887

Organism Log #: 2500

Test Material: CdCl₂

Treatment (mgCd/L)	Temp	pH	DO	Salinity	# Live Animals		Sign-off
					A	B	
Control	20.0	7.74	8.9	30.5	5	5	Time: 1615 Date: 11-2-05 Name: NC MM
1	20.0	7.76	8.8	30.8	5	5	
2	20.0	7.77	8.8	30.7	5	5	
4	20.0	7.78	8.6	30.9	5	5	
8	20.0	7.78	8.6	30.8	5	5	
16	20.0	7.76	8.6	30.8	5	5	
Control	20.3	7.81	8.9	30.6	5	5	
1	20.3	7.81	8.8	30.8	5	5	Time: 0920 Date: 11/3/05 Name: DC DH
2	20.3	7.83	8.8	30.8	5	5	
4	20.3	7.83	8.7	30.8	5	5	
8	20.3	7.83	8.8	30.6	5	5	
16	20.3	7.83	8.6	30.7	0	0	
Control	20.0	7.87	7.0	31.0	5	4	
1	20.0	7.87	7.0	31.1	5	5	
2	20.0	7.93	7.9	30.1	5	5	Time: 1412 Date: 11-4-05 Name: AB RJ
4	20.0	7.88	7.0	31.0	5	5	
8	20.0	7.87	6.3	31.4	5	5	
16	20.0	—	—	—	—	—	
Control	19.8	7.85	8.2	30.7	5	4	
1	19.8	7.84	8.0	30.5	5	5	Time: 1220 Date: 11-5-05 Name: AB SC
2	19.8	7.86	8.5	30.9	4	5	
4	19.8	7.87	8.2	30.8	5	5	
8	19.8	7.85	8.5	30.0	4	1	
16	19.8	—	—	—	—	—	
Control	19.6	7.91	7.2	30.9	5	4	
1	19.6	7.93	6.5	30.9	5	5	Time: 1420 Date: 11/6/05 Name: DC CS
2	19.6	7.94	7.1	31.0	4	5	
4	19.6	7.95	7.0	30.9	5	5	
8	19.6	7.94	7.1	30.9	0	0	
16	19.6	—	—	—	—	—	

Appendix H

Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Toxicity Evaluation of Levin Richmond Sediment Elutriate with Mussel (*Mytilus sp.*) Embryos

CETIS Test Summary

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	01-2220-1463	Test Type: Development-Survival			Duration:	46h		
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol: EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)			Species:	Mytilis edulis		
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:30 PM	Dil Water: Seawater			Source:	Carlsbad Aquafarms		
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine: Not Applicable						
Sample No:	02-8380-7829	Material:	Elutriate			Client:	LRTC	
Sample Date:	26 Oct-05	Code:	10649			Project:		
Receive Date:	26 Oct-05	Source:	LRTC					
Sample Age:	17h	Station:	LRT-SO1 COMP					
Comparison Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method		
10-0069-2393	Proportion Normal	25	50	35.355	4.42%	Dunnett's Multiple Comparison		
15-5493-7993	Proportion Survived	50	100	70.711	12.17%	Dunnett's Multiple Comparison		
Point Estimate Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	% Effect	Conc-%	95% LCL	95% UCL	Method		
05-9826-8201	Proportion Normal	5	42.07827	28.69897	55.50613	Linear Interpolation		
		10	51.57294	41.42258	54.62483			
		15	54.26333	50.31876	57.14568			
		20	56.95372	53.24118	59.66652			
		25	59.64412	56.1636	62.18736			
		40	67.71529	64.93089	69.74988			
		50	73.09608	70.77574	74.79158			
08-3124-7934	Proportion Survived	50	66.62311	65.58185	67.6809	Trimmed Spearman-Karber		
Proportion Normal Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.92728	0.90452	0.94660	0.00753	0.01685	1.82%
0	Site Water	5	0.86087	0.73228	0.91388	0.03319	0.07422	8.62%
1		5	0.90055	0.88995	0.91498	0.00527	0.01178	1.31%
10		5	0.92688	0.86364	0.95510	0.01615	0.03611	3.90%
25		5	0.93987	0.92208	0.94907	0.00537	0.01200	1.28%
50		5	0.86166	0.80275	0.91593	0.02444	0.05465	6.34%
100		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Proportion Survived Summary								
Conc-%	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.93052	0.84507	1.00000	0.03055	0.06831	7.34%
0	Site Water	5	0.89577	0.85915	0.94366	0.01458	0.03259	3.64%
1		5	0.92770	0.87324	1.00000	0.02527	0.05649	6.09%
10		5	0.89108	0.80282	1.00000	0.03175	0.07100	7.97%
25		5	0.95023	0.83568	1.00000	0.03019	0.06751	7.11%
50		5	0.87418	0.81690	0.97183	0.03011	0.06733	7.70%
100		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%

CETIS Test Summary

Report Date:
Test Link:

13 Dec-05 11:47 AM
09-7360-0748/15579

Proportion Normal Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Seawater Cont	0.92531	0.90452	0.94059	0.91935	0.94660
0	Site Water	0.73228	0.89767	0.89732	0.86321	0.91388
1		0.89048	0.89610	0.91498	0.88995	0.91121
10		0.93596	0.94059	0.86364	0.93909	0.95510
25		0.94860	0.94907	0.94681	0.92208	0.93277
50		0.80357	0.80275	0.90278	0.91593	0.88325
100		0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

Proportion Survived Detail

Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5
0	Seawater Cont	1.00000	0.84507	0.89202	1.00000	0.91549
0	Site Water	0.87324	0.90610	0.94366	0.85915	0.89671
1		0.87793	0.97183	1.00000	0.87324	0.91549
10		0.89202	0.89202	0.80282	0.86854	1.00000
25		0.95305	0.96244	0.83568	1.00000	1.00000
50		0.84507	0.82160	0.91549	0.97183	0.81690
100		0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000



CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk					
Test No:	01-2220-1463	Test Type: Development-Survival				Duration: 46h						
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol: EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)				Species: Mytilis edulis						
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:30 PM	Dil Water: Seawater				Source: Carlsbad Aquafarms						
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine: Not Applicable										
Endpoint	Analysis Type			Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version					
Proportion Normal	Comparison			09-7360-0748	09-7360-0748	29 Nov-05 10:33 AM	CETISv1.1.1					
Method	Alt H	Data Transform		Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD			
Dunnett's Multiple Comparison	C > T	Angular (Corrected)			25	50	4	35.355	4.42%			
ANOVA Assumptions												
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value		Decision(0.01)					
Variances	Bartlett	9.65230		13.27670	0.04671		Equal Variances					
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93661			0.12361		Normal Distribution					
ANOVA Table												
Source	Sum of Squares		Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	0.0536498		0.0134124	4	5.45	0.00392	Significant Effect					
Error	0.0492428		0.0024621	20								
Total	0.10289255		0.0158746	24								
Group Comparisons												
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)					
Seawater Control	1	1	1.55364	2.30451	0.1838	0.07232	Non-Significant Effect					
		10	-0.0963	2.30451	0.8298	0.07232	Non-Significant Effect					
		25	-0.7906	2.30451	0.9596	0.07232	Non-Significant Effect					
		50	3.32066	2.30451	0.0059	0.07232	Significant Effect					
Data Summary												
Original Data			Transformed Data									
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD		
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.92728	0.90452	0.94660	0.01685	1.29914	1.25666	1.33761	0.03249		
1		5	0.90055	0.88995	0.91498	0.01178	1.25038	1.23265	1.27492	0.01988		
10		5	0.92688	0.86364	0.95510	0.03611	1.30216	1.19257	1.35729	0.06342		
25		5	0.93987	0.92208	0.94907	0.01200	1.32395	1.28789	1.34317	0.02468		
50		5	0.86166	0.80275	0.91593	0.05465	1.19493	1.11060	1.27662	0.07892		
Data Detail												
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10	
0	Seawater Cont	0.92531	0.90452	0.94059	0.91935	0.94660						
1		0.89048	0.89610	0.91498	0.88995	0.91121						
10		0.93596	0.94059	0.86364	0.93909	0.95510						
25		0.94860	0.94907	0.94681	0.92208	0.93277						
50		0.80357	0.80275	0.90278	0.91593	0.88325						

CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons: Page 2 of 4
Report Date: 13 Dec-05 11:47 AM
Analysis: 10-0069-2393/15579

Graphics



Comparisons:

Page 3 of 4

Report Date:

13 Dec-05 11:47 AM

Analysis:

15-5493-7993/15579

CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk									
Test No:	01-2220-1463	Test Type: Development-Survival				Duration: 46h										
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol: EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)				Species: Mytilis edulis										
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:30 PM	Dil Water: Seawater				Source: Carlsbad Aquafarms										
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine: Not Applicable														
Endpoint	Analysis Type			Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version									
Proportion Survived	Comparison			09-7360-0748	09-7360-0748	13 Dec-05 11:47 AM	CETISv1.1.1									
Method	Alt H	Data Transform		Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV	PMSD							
Dunnett's Multiple Comparison	C > T	Angular (Corrected)			50	100	2	70.711	12.17%							
ANOVA Assumptions																
Attribute	Test	Statistic		Critical	P-Value		Decision(0.01)									
Variances	Bartlett	0.65005		13.27670	0.95734		Equal Variances									
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.93700			0.12621		Normal Distribution									
ANOVA Table																
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value		Decision(0.05)									
Between	0.092097	0.0230242	4	1.00	0.43024		Non-Significant Effect									
Error	0.4600762	0.0230038	20													
Total	0.55217319	0.0460281	24													
Group Comparisons																
Control	vs	Conc-%	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)									
Seawater Control	1		0.24168	2.30451	0.7127	0.22106	Non-Significant Effect									
	10		0.90109	2.30451	0.4235	0.22106	Non-Significant Effect									
	25		-0.4243	2.30451	0.9085	0.22106	Non-Significant Effect									
	50		1.34171	2.30451	0.2493	0.22106	Non-Significant Effect									
Data Summary																
Original Data			Transformed Data													
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD						
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.93052	0.84507	1.00000	0.06831	1.35022	1.16624	1.53653	0.17454						
1		5	0.92770	0.87324	1.00000	0.05649	1.32704	1.20678	1.53653	0.14091						
10		5	0.89108	0.80282	1.00000	0.07100	1.26378	1.11068	1.53653	0.16084						
25		5	0.95023	0.83568	1.00000	0.06751	1.39093	1.15342	1.53653	0.15853						
50		5	0.87418	0.81690	0.97183	0.06733	1.22152	1.12863	1.40216	0.11705						
Data Detail																
Conc-%	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10					
0	Seawater Cont	1.00000	0.84507	0.89202	1.00000	0.91549										
1		0.87793	0.97183	1.00000	0.87324	0.91549										
10		0.89202	0.89202	0.80282	0.86854	1.00000										
25		0.95305	0.96244	0.83568	1.00000	1.00000										
50		0.84507	0.82160	0.91549	0.97183	0.81690										

CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons:

Page 4 of 4



Report Date:

13 Dec-05 11:47 AM

Analysis:

15-5493-7993/15579

Graphics



CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk		
Test No:		01-2220-1463	Test Type:		Development-Survival		Duration:		46h
Start Date:		26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol:		EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)		Species:		Mytilis edulis
Ending Date:		28 Oct-05 03:30 PM	Dil Water:		Seawater		Source:		Carlsbad Aquafarms
Setup Date:		26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine:		Not Applicable				
Endpoint		Analysis Type		Sample Link		Control Link		Date Analyzed	Version
Proportion Survived		Trimmed Spearman-Karber		09-7360-0748	09-7360-0748	13 Dec-05 11:47 AM	CETISv1.1.1		
Spearman-Karber Options						Point Estimates			
Threshold Option	Lower Threshold	Trim	μ	σ		EC50/LC50	95% LCL	95% UCL	
Control Threshold	0.06948357	0.30%	1.823625	0.00342063		66.62311	65.58185	67.68090	
Data Summary			Calculated Variate(A/B)						
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	A	B
0	Seawater Control	5	0.93052	0.84507	1.00000	0.01394	0.06831	991	1065
1		5	0.92770	0.87324	1.00000	0.01153	0.05649	988	1065
10		5	0.89108	0.80282	1.00000	0.01449	0.07100	949	1065
25		5	0.95023	0.83568	1.00000	0.01378	0.06751	1012	1065
50		5	0.87418	0.81690	0.97183	0.01374	0.06733	931	1065
100		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0	1065

Graphics	

CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test						Pacific EcoRisk		
Test No:	01-2220-1463	Test Type:	Development-Survival		Duration:	46h		
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol:	EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)		Species:	Mytilis edulis		
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:30 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater		Source:	Carlsbad Aquafarms		
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable					
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version		
Proportion Normal	Linear Interpolation		09-7360-0748	09-7360-0748	29 Nov-05 10:33 AM	CETISv1.1.1		
Linear Interpolation Options								
X Transform	Y Transform	Seed	Resamples	Exp 95% CL	Method			
Linear	Linear	7607235	280	Yes	Two-Point Interpolation			
Point Estimates								
% Effect	Conc-%	95% LCL	95% UCL					
5	42.07827	28.69897	55.50613					
10	51.57294	41.42258	54.62483					
15	54.26333	50.31876	57.14568					
20	56.95372	53.24118	59.66652					
25	59.64412	56.1636	62.18736					
40	67.71529	64.93089	69.74988					
50	73.09608	70.77574	74.79158					
Data Summary								
Calculated Variate(A/B)								
Conc-%	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE		
0	Seawater Control	5	0.92728	0.90452	0.94660	0.00344		
1		5	0.90055	0.88995	0.91498	0.00241		
10		5	0.92688	0.86364	0.95510	0.00737		
25		5	0.93987	0.92208	0.94907	0.00245		
50		5	0.86166	0.80275	0.91593	0.01116		
100		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000		
A SD								
					1016	1096		
					1001	1111		
					970	1045		
					1021	1087		
					931	1081		
					0	1035		
Graphics								

***Mytilus spp.* Development Toxicity Test Count Data**

Client: LRTC
 Test Material: LRT-SO
 Test ID #: 15579
 Project #: 10649

Test Start Date: 10-26-05
 Test End Date: 10-28-05
 Enumeration Date: 11-4-05
 Investigator: AB

Concentration	Replicate	Number of Normal Larvae	Number of Abnormal Larvae	Total Number Larvae	Percent Normal Development	Percent Survival
Control	A	223	18	241	93	100
	B	180	19	199	90	85
	C	190	12	202	94	89
	D	228	20	248	92	100
	E	195	11	206	95	92
1.0%	A	187	23	210	89	88
	B	207	24	231	90	97
	C	226	21	247	91	100
	D	186	23	209	89	87
	E	195	19	214	91	92
10%	A	190	13	203	94	89
	B	190	12	202	94	89
	C	171	27	198	86	80
	D	185	12	197	94	87
	E	234	11	245	96	100
25%	A	203	11	214	95	95
	B	205	11	216	95	96
	C	178	10	188	95	84
	D	213	18	231	92	100
	E	222	16	238	93	100
50%	A	180	44	224	80	85
	B	175	43	218	80	82
	C	195	21	216	90	92
	D	207	19	226	92	97
	E	174	23	197	88	82
100%	A	0	221	221	0	100%
	B	0	212	212	0	100%
	C	0	189	189	0	89%
	D	0	204	204	0	100%
	E	0	209	209	0	98%

***Mytilus spp.* Development Toxicity Test Water Chemistry Data**

Client: LRTC
 Test Material: LRT-SOI
 Test ID#: 15579 Project #: 10649
 Test Date: 10-26-05 Randomization: n/a

Organism Log#: 2493 Age: N/A
 Organism Supplier: Carlsbad Aquafarms
 Control/Diluent: 30 ppt FSW

Day 0					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	<u>15.9</u>	<u>7.83</u>	<u>9.0</u>	<u>29.8</u>	Sample ID: <u>13605</u>
1.0%	<u>15.9</u>	<u>7.81</u>	<u>7.9</u>	<u>30.3</u>	Test Solution Prep: <u>AB</u>
10%	<u>15.9</u>	<u>7.85</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>30.3</u>	New WQ: <u>JRN</u>
25%	<u>15.9</u>	<u>7.90</u>	<u>7.6</u>	<u>30.2</u>	Innoculation Date: <u>10-26-05</u>
50%	<u>15.9</u>	<u>7.95</u>	<u>7.4</u>	<u>30.1</u>	Innoculation Time: <u>1710</u>
100%	<u>15.9</u>	<u>8.00</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>29.9</u>	Innoculation Signoff: <u>AB</u>
Meter ID	<u>#6</u>	<u>pH 03</u>	<u>Me08 do</u>	<u>E.01</u>	

Day 1					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	Date: <u>10-27-05</u>
1.0%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	Signoff: <u>AB</u>
10%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	
25%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	
50%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	
100%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	
Meter ID	<u>#6</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	

Day 2					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	<u>15.2</u>	<u>7.80</u>	<u>7.5</u>	<u>30.1</u>	Termination Signoff: <u>KW</u>
1.0%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>7.83</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>29.7</u>	Termination Date: <u>10/28/05</u>
10%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>7.91</u>	<u>7.6</u>	<u>30.3</u>	Termination Time: <u>1530</u>
25%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>7.99</u>	<u>7.6</u>	<u>30.2</u>	Old WQ: <u>Jc</u>
50%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.08</u>	<u>7.6</u>	<u>30.3</u>	
100%	<u>15.2</u>	<u>8.18</u>	<u>7.81</u>	<u>30.1</u>	
Meter ID	<u>6</u>	<u>DH26</u>	<u>D002</u>	<u>E002</u>	

***Mytilus spp.* Development Toxicity Test Count Data**

Client: LRTC Test Start Date: 10-26-05
 Test Material: Site water Test End Date: 10/28/05
 Test ID #: 15579 Enumeration Date: 11/7/05
 Project #: 106049 Investigator: MM

Concentration		Number of Normal Larvae	Number of Abnormal Larvae	Total Number Larvae	Percent Normal Development
	Replicate				
Control	A	223	18	241	93
	B	180	19	199	90
	C	190	12	202	94
	D	228	20	248	92
	E	195	11	206	95
100%	A	186	68	254	73.2
	B	193	22	215	89.8
	C	201	23	224	89.7
	D	183	29	212	86.3
	E	191	18	209	91.4

***Mytilus spp.* Development Toxicity Test Water Chemistry Data**

Client: LRTC
 Test Material: Site Water
 Test ID#: 15579 Project #: 10649
 Test Date: 10-26-05 Randomization: n/a

Organism Log#: 2493 Age: N/A
 Organism Supplier: Carlsbad Aquafarms
 Control/Diluent: 30ppt FSW

Day 0					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.9	7.90	8.4	29.7	Sample ID: <u>13583</u>
100%	15.9	7.89	9.3	29.6	Test Solution Prep: <u>AB</u>
					New WQ: <u>RJ</u>
					Innoculation Date: <u>10-26-05</u>
					Innoculation Time: <u>1710</u>
Meter ID	#6	pH03	D008	Eco1	Innoculation Signoff: <u>AB</u>

Day 1					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.2				Date: <u>10-27-05</u>
100%	15.2				Signoff: <u>AB</u>
Meter ID	#6				

Day 2					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.2	7.80	kn9.57.5	30.1	Termination Date: <u>10/28/05</u>
100%	15.2	7.88	8.1	30.0	Termination Time: <u>1530</u>
					Termination Signoff: <u>KW</u>
Meter ID	6	pH09	D008	Eco1	Old WQ: <u>kn</u>

Appendix I

Test Data and Summary of Statistics for the Reference Toxicant Evaluation of the Mussel (*Mytilus sp.*) Embryos

CETIS Test Summary

Report Date: 09 Nov-05 2:55 PM
 Test Link: 16-6243-7236/15588

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk	
Test No:	02-0948-6262	Test Type:	Development-Survival	Duration:	47h			
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol:	EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)	Species:	Mytilis edulis			
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:50 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater	Source:	Carlsbad Aquafarms			
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable					
Sample No:	17-7164-3747	Material:	Copper sulfate	Client:				
Sample Date:	26 Oct-05	Code:	PERQAQC	Project:				
Receive Date:	26 Oct-05	Source:	Reference Toxicant					
Sample Age:	17h	Station:	In House					
Comparison Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	NOEL	LOEL	ChV	PMSD	Method		
18-4206-8875	Proportion Normal	5	10	7.0711	4.69%	Dunnett's Multiple Comparison		
Point Estimate Summary								
Analysis	Endpoint	% Effect	Conc- μ g/L	95% LCL	95% UCL	Method		
05-0956-1164	Proportion Normal	5	5.10243	1.011285	5.250238	Linear Interpolation		
		10	5.366898	5.16795	5.505048			
		15	5.631366	5.438688	5.761958			
		20	5.895833	5.709947	6.01764			
		25	6.160301	5.987473	6.273323			
		40	6.953704	6.79901	7.063171			
		50	7.482639	7.336267	7.594731			
Proportion Normal Summary								
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Reps	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	CV
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.91400	0.88000	0.94000	0.01030	0.02302	2.52%
1.25		5	0.91000	0.90000	0.93000	0.00548	0.01225	1.35%
2.5		5	0.88200	0.85000	0.92000	0.01241	0.02775	3.15%
5		5	0.89000	0.85000	0.92000	0.01183	0.02646	2.97%
10		5	0.02200	0.00000	0.06000	0.01114	0.02490	113.18
15		5	0.00400	0.00000	0.01000	0.00245	0.00548	136.93
20		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00%
Proportion Normal Detail								
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5		
0	Seawater Cont	0.88000	0.91000	0.94000	0.93000	0.91000		
1.25		0.93000	0.91000	0.91000	0.90000	0.90000		
2.5		0.92000	0.87000	0.90000	0.87000	0.85000		
5		0.92000	0.90000	0.88000	0.85000	0.90000		
10		0.06000	0.00000	0.03000	0.00000	0.02000		
15		0.00000	0.00000	0.01000	0.00000	0.01000		
20		0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000		

DKM

Comparisons: Page 1 of 2
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 2:55 PM
 Analysis: 18-4206-8875/15588

CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test							Pacific EcoRisk				
Test No:	02-0948-6262	Test Type:	Development-Survival	Duration:	47h						
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol:	EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)	Species:	Mytilis edulis						
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:50 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater	Source:	Carlsbad Aquafarms						
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable								
Endpoint	Analysis Type	Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version						
Proportion Normal	Comparison	16-6243-7236	16-6243-7236	09 Nov-05 2:55 PM	CETISv1.1.1						
Method	Alt H	Data Transform	Zeta	NOEL	LOEL	Toxic Units	ChV				
Dunnett's Multiple Comparison	C > T	Angular (Corrected)		5	10	20	7.0711 4.69%				
ANOVA Assumptions											
Attribute	Test	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	Decision(0.01)						
Variances	Bartlett	8.32274	15.08627	0.13932	Equal Variances						
Distribution	Shapiro-Wilk W	0.96718		0.46508	Normal Distribution						
ANOVA Table											
Source	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	DF	F Statistic	P-Value	Decision(0.05)					
Between	8.808187	1.761637	5	769.15	0.00000	Significant Effect					
Error	0.0549688	0.0022904	24								
Total	8.86315529	1.7639277	29								
Group Comparisons											
Control	vs	Conc- μ g/L	Statistic	Critical	P-Value	MSD	Decision(0.05)				
Seawater Control		1.25	0.27945	2.36175	0.7379	0.07149	Non-Significant Effect				
		2.5	1.75483	2.36175	0.1512	0.07149	Non-Significant Effect				
		5	1.34361	2.36175	0.2784	0.07149	Non-Significant Effect				
		10	37.7439	2.36175	0.0000	0.07149	Significant Effect				
		15	39.8127	2.36175	0.0000	0.07149	Significant Effect				
Data Summary											
Original Data											
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SD	
0	Seawater Cont	5	0.91400	0.88000	0.94000	0.02302	1.27512	1.21705	1.32333	0.04073	
1.25		5	0.91000	0.90000	0.93000	0.01225	1.26667	1.24905	1.30303	0.02205	
2.5		5	0.88200	0.85000	0.92000	0.02775	1.22201	1.17310	1.28404	0.04409	
5		5	0.89000	0.85000	0.92000	0.02646	1.23446	1.17310	1.28404	0.04169	
10		5	0.02200	0.00000	0.06000	0.02490	0.13270	0.05002	0.24747	0.08462	
15		5	0.00400	0.00000	0.01000	0.00548	0.07008	0.05002	0.10017	0.02747	
Transformed Data											
Data Detail											
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Rep 1	Rep 2	Rep 3	Rep 4	Rep 5	Rep 6	Rep 7	Rep 8	Rep 9	Rep 10
0	Seawater Cont	0.88000	0.91000	0.94000	0.93000	0.91000					
1.25		0.93000	0.91000	0.91000	0.90000	0.90000					
2.5		0.92000	0.87000	0.90000	0.87000	0.85000					
5		0.92000	0.90000	0.88000	0.85000	0.90000					
10		0.06000	0.00000	0.03000	0.00000	0.02000					
15		0.00000	0.00000	0.01000	0.00000	0.01000					

CETIS Analysis Detail

Comparisons:

Page 2 of 2



Report Date:

09 Nov-05 2:55 PM

Analysis:

18-4206-8875/15588

Graphics



Linear Interpolation: Page 1 of 1
 Report Date: 09 Nov-05 2:55 PM
 Analysis: 05-0956-1164/15588

CETIS Analysis Detail

Bivalve Larval Survival and Development Test						Pacific EcoRisk				
Test No:	02-0948-6262	Test Type:	Development-Survival	Duration:	47h					
Start Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Protocol:	EPA/600/R-95/136 (1995)	Species:	Mytilis edulis					
Ending Date:	28 Oct-05 03:50 PM	Dil Water:	Seawater	Source:	Carlsbad Aquafarms					
Setup Date:	26 Oct-05 05:10 PM	Brine:	Not Applicable							
Endpoint	Analysis Type		Sample Link	Control Link	Date Analyzed	Version				
Proportion Normal	Linear Interpolation		16-6243-7236	16-6243-7236	09 Nov-05 2:55 PM	CETISv1.1.1				
Linear Interpolation Options										
X Transform	Y Transform	Seed	Resamples	Exp 95% CL	Method					
Linear	Linear	9800032	280	Yes	Two-Point Interpolation					
Point Estimates										
% Effect	Conc- μ g/L	95% LCL	95% UCL							
5	5.10243	1.011285	5.250238							
10	5.366898	5.16795	5.505048							
15	5.631366	5.438688	5.761958							
20	5.895833	5.709947	6.01764							
25	6.160301	5.987473	6.273323							
40	6.953704	6.79901	7.063171							
50	7.482639	7.336267	7.594731							
Data Summary			Calculated Variate(A/B)							
Conc- μ g/L	Control Type	Count	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	SE	SD	A	B	
0	Seawater Control	5	0.91400	0.88000	0.94000	0.00470	0.02302	457	500	
1.25		5	0.91000	0.90000	0.93000	0.00250	0.01225	455	500	
2.5		5	0.88200	0.85000	0.92000	0.00566	0.02775	441	500	
5		5	0.89000	0.85000	0.92000	0.00540	0.02646	445	500	
10		5	0.02200	0.00000	0.06000	0.00508	0.02490	11	500	
15		5	0.00400	0.00000	0.01000	0.00112	0.00548	2	500	
20		5	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0	500	
Graphics										

***Mytilus spp.* Development Reference Toxicant Test Water Chemistry Data**

Client: Reference Toxicant Organism Log#: 2493 Age: N/A
 Test Material: Copper Sulfate Organism Supplier: Carlsbad Aquafarms
 Test ID#: 15588 Project #: PERQAQC Control/Diluent: 30ppt FSW
 Test Date: 10.26.05 Randomization: NA

Day 0					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.9	7.76	8.1	30.2	Date: <u>10.26.05</u>
1.25	15.9	7.78	8.1	30.2	Test Solution Prep: <u>AB</u>
2.5	15.9	7.79	8.1	30.2	New WQ: <u>RF</u> <u>SW</u>
5	15.9	7.79	8.2	30.2	Inoculation Time: <u>1710</u>
10	15.9	7.79	7.8	30.2	Inoculation Signoff: <u>AB</u>
15	15.9	7.79	7.8	30.2	
20	15.9	7.79	8.2	30.1	
Meter ID	#6	pit 03	Mc 08 do	Ec 01	

Day 1					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.2				Date: <u>10-27-05</u>
1.25	15.2				Signoff: <u>AB</u>
2.5	15.2				
5	15.2				
10	15.2				
15	15.2				
20	15.2				
Meter ID	#6				

Day 2					
Treatment	Temperature (°C)	pH	D.O. (mg/L)	Salinity (ppt)	Signoff
Control	15.2	7.80	7.4	30.2	Date: <u>10/28/05</u>
1.25	15.2	7.82	7.5	30.2	Termination Time: <u>10/28/05 1550</u>
2.5	15.2	7.84	7.7	30.3	Termination Signoff: <u>ICW</u>
5	15.2	7.86	8.0	30.2	Old WQ: <u>PN</u>
10	15.2	7.85	8.1	30.4	
15	15.2	7.87	8.1	30.3	
20	15.2	7.86	8.1	30.3	
Meter ID	6	pH09	D008	Ec01	

***Mytilus spp.* Development Toxicity Test Count Data**

Client: Reference Toxicant Test Start Date: 10/24/05
 Test Material: Copper Sulfate Test End Date: 10/28/05
 Test ID #: 15588 Enumeration Date: 11/6/05
 Project #: PERGAAQC Investigator: MM

Concentration	Replicate	Number of Normal Larvae	Number of Abnormal Larvae	Total Number Larvae	Percent Normal Development
Control	A	88	12	100	88
	B	91	9	100	91
	C	94	6	100	94
	D	93	7	100	93
	E	91	9	100	91
1.25 µg/L	A	93	7	100	93
	B	91	9	100	91
	C	91	9	100	91
	D	90	10	100	90
	E	90	10	100	90
2.5 µg/L	A	92	8	100	92
	B	87	13	100	87
	C	90	10	100	90
	D	87	13	100	87
	E	85	15	100	85
5 µg/L	A	92	8	100	92
	B	90	10	100	90
	C	88	12	100	88
	D	85	15	100	85
	E	90	10	100	90
10 µg/L	A	6	94	100	6
	B	0	100	100	0
	C	3	97	100	3
	D	0	100	100	0
	E	2	98	100	2
15 µg/L	A	0	100	100	0
	B	0	100	100	0
	C	1	99	100	1
	D	0	100	100	0
	E	1	99	100	1
20 µg/L	A	0	100	100	0
	B	0	100	100	0
	C	0	100	100	0
	D	0	100	100	0
	E	0	100	100	0

Appendix J

Bioassay Standard Test Conditions

SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR THE AMPHIPOD (<i>Ampelisca abdita</i>) 10-DAY SEDIMENT TOXICITY TEST	
1. Test type	Static non-renewal
2. Test duration	10 d
3. Temperature	20 ± 1°C
4. Salinity	20 – 35 ppt
5. Light quality	Ambient Laboratory
6. Light intensity	50 – 100 ft c.
7. Photoperiod	Continuous
8. Test chamber size	1 L
9. Seawater volume	800 mL
10. Sediment depth	40 mm
11. Renewal of seawater	None
12. Age of test organisms	Wild population, immature juveniles
13. # of organisms per test chamber	20
14. # of replicate chambers/concentration	5
15. # of organisms per sediment type	100
16. Feeding regime	None
17. Test chamber cleaning	Lab washing prior to test
18. Test solution aeration	Low bubble (~100/minute)
19. Overlying water	0.45 µm-filtered seawater (at test salinity)
20. Test materials	Test sites, reference and Lab Control
21. Dilution series	None
22. Endpoint	% Survival
23. Sample holding requirements	< 8 weeks
24. Sample volume required	4 L
25. Test acceptability criteria	≥ 85% survival in the Lab Control treatment
26. Reference toxicant results	Within 2 SD of laboratory mean

SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR THE MARINE POLYCHAETE (<i>Neanthes arenaceodentata</i>) ACUTE TOXICITY BENTHIC TEST	
1. Test type	Static
2. Test duration	10d
3. Temperature	20 ± 1°C
4. Salinity	20 – 35 ppt
5. Light quality	Ambient Laboratory
6. Light intensity	50 – 100 ft c.
7. Photoperiod	12L/12D
8. Test chamber size	1 L glass beakers
9. Test solution volume	800 mL
10. Sediment depth	25 mm (200 mL)
11. Renewal of seawater	none
12. Age of test organisms	2-3 weeks
13. # of organisms per test chamber	10
14. # of replicate chambers/concentration	5
15. # of organisms per sediment type	50
16. Feeding regime	None
17. Test chamber cleaning	Lab washing prior to test
18. Test solution aeration	Low bubble (~100/minute)
19. Overlying water	Natural seawater
20. Test concentrations	Test sites, reference and Lab Control
21. Dilution series	None
22. Endpoint	% survival
23. Sample and sample holding requirements	< 8 weeks
24. Sample volume required	4 L
25. Test acceptability criteria	≥ 90% in the Lab Controls
26. Reference toxicant results	Within 2 SD of laboratory mean

SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR THE BLUE MUSSEL (<i>Mytilus sp.</i>) ACUTE TOXICITY WATER COLUMN TEST	
1. Test type	Static non-renewal
2. Test duration	48 hours
3. Salinity	30 ± 2 ppt
4. Temperature	16 ± 1°C
5. Light quality	Ambient Laboratory
6. Light intensity	50 – 100 ft c.
7. Photoperiod	16L/8D
8. Test chamber size	30 mL vials
9. Test solution volume	10 mL
10. Renewal of seawater	None
11. Age of test organisms	Embryo ≤ 4h old
12. # of organisms per test chamber	150 – 300
13. # of replicate chambers per concentration	5
14. # of organisms per concentration	750 – 1,500
15. Feeding regime	None
16. Test chamber cleaning	Lab washing prior to test
17. Test chamber aeration	None
18. Elutriate preparation water	Site water
19. Test concentrations	Test sites, and Lab Control
20. Dilution series	Four concentrations (1, 10, 50, 100%) and a Lab Control.
21. Dilution water	Natural seawater
22. Endpoints	% survival and % normal development
22. Sampling holding requirements	< 8 weeks
23. Sample volume required	2L
24. Test acceptability criteria	≥70% survival and normal development in the Lab Controls.

Appendix K

Elutriate Suitability Determination

Table K-1. Calculation of the Elutriate Suitability Concentration (ESC)

Site:	LRT-S01-COMP
Species:	<i>Mytilus sp.</i>
Disposal Site:	SF-11

Mixing Zone Estimation	LRT-S01-COMP
Depth of disposal site (m)=	15
Pi=	3.14159
Width of vessel (m)=	10
Length of vessel(m)=	25
Speed of vessel (m/sec)=	0.5
Time of discharge (sec)=	30
Depth of vessel (m)=	4
Mixing Zone Volume(cu.m)=	627239

Volume of Liquid Phase	
Bulk density (constant) =	1.3
Particle density (constant) =	2.6
Density of liquid phase (constant) =	1
Vol of disposal vessel (cu.m)=	1000
Liquid phase volume (cu.m)=	813

Concentration of suspended phase	
Percent Silt=	30.1
Percent Clay=	46.1
Volume of Suspended Phase (cu.m)=	143

Projected Concentration (percent SP) =	0.0228
Lowest LC50 or EC50 from bioassay=	66.6
Factor LC50 or EC 50 X 0.01=	0.666

The factored LC50 or EC50 is higher than the projected concentration; therefore the Elutriate Suitability Concentration is not exceeded for dredged material from this site for the disposal site specified (SF-11). This assumes that sediment will be disposed of by barge at the disposal site, using a barge meeting the listed parameters.